



NOTICES

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. DO NOT return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

UNCLASSIFIED

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of infi gathering and maintaining the data needed, and collection of information, including suggestions payshipmary Suite 1204 Artinoton VA 22202	ormation is estimated to average 1 hour per d completing and reviewing the collection of i for reducing this burden. To Washington rea 	response, including the time for re information. Send comments rega dquarters Services, Directorate fo Budget: Paperwork Reduction Pro-	eviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, rding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this rinformation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson ect (0704-0188). Washington, DC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blan	k) 2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AN	D DATES COVERED
	March 1991	Final, 10 Dec	89 thru 10 Dec 90
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Issues in Automatic Ob and Material Data to P	5. FUNDING NUMBERS PR: 1L162618AH80		
5. AUTHOR(S) Paul H. Deitz, Michael	J. Muuss, Edwin O. D	evisson	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE US Army Ballistic Rese ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T	NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES arch Laboratory)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066			BRL- MR-3898
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This paper was present Engineers (SPIE) Advan in Cocoa Beach, FL 21	ed at the First Socie ced Institute Program -23 April 1990.	ty of Photo-Opti on Automatic Ob	cal Instrumentation ject Recognition, held
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT		125. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public re	lease; distribution u	nlimited	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words	()		
^{13.} ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words The principal focus of Automat to process the output of multi-s signatures of targets of interest, measurement records alone. In target and background configur requirement for predictive signa database can be leveraged signi representation used for three-dia application code read that database geometric and material attribute application is both enabled and	tic Object Recognition (AOR) spectral sensor arrays. Given the it is normally impossible to sub- dividual sensor characteristics ation (singly and in synergism) ature modeling methods. By n ificantly, improving the fidelity mensional geometry and mater base directly. Rather, a specifies to the application code. Cle constrained by the form of the	involves the generation he high dimensionality atisfy the need for raw in conjunction with asp), and multi-spectral tra- neans of this stratagem, of the simulation. Irre- ial database, rarely doe c interrogation method arly the nature of the p e interrogation process to	of appropriate algorithms that characterizes the signature data by means of ect-angle dependence, deoffs inexorably lead to a a measured signature espective of the specific s a predictive signature is used to pass particular hysics employed in the used.
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words The principal focus of Automat to process the output of multi-s signatures of targets of interest, measurement records alone. In target and background configur requirement for predictive signa database can be leveraged signi representation used for three-di application code read that database geometric and material attribute application is both enabled and SUBJECT TERMS Automatic Object Recogn Synthetic Aperture Rada 	tic Object Recognition (AOR) pectral sensor arrays. Given the , it is normally impossible to such that is normally impossible to such that is normally and in synergism attice modeling methods. By methods, and matter passe directly. Rather, a specific such application code. Cle constrained by the form of the constrained by the form of the methods. Inition Combinatoria ar Non-Manifold	involves the generation he high dimensionality atisfy the need for raw in conjunction with asp), and multi-spectral tra- neans of this stratagem, of the simulation. Irre- ial database, rarely doe c interrogation method arly the nature of the p e interrogation process to cal Solid Geomet d Geometry	of appropriate algorithms that characterizes the signature data by means of pect-angle dependence, deoffs inexorably lead to a a measured signature espective of the specific s a predictive signature is used to pass particular hysics employed in the used. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES $\frac{15. \text{NUMBER OF PAGES}}{16. PRICE CODE}$
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words The principal focus of Automat to process the output of multi-s signatures of targets of interest, measurement records alone. In target and background configur requirement for predictive signa database can be leveraged signi representation used for three-dir application code read that database geometric and material attribute application is both enabled and SUBJECT TERMS Automatic Object Recogn Synthetic Aperture Radaray tracing SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 11 	tic Object Recognition (AOR) spectral sensor arrays. Given the , it is normally impossible to saidividual sensor characteristics ation (singly and in synergism) ature modeling methods. By m ificantly, improving the fidelity mensional geometry and mater base directly. Rather, a specifies to the application code. Cle constrained by the form of the nition Combinatoric ar Non-Manifol- mathematica 8. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	involves the generation he high dimensionality atisfy the need for raw in conjunction with asp), and multi-spectral tra- neans of this stratagem, of the simulation. Irre- ial database, rarely does c interrogation method arly the nature of the p c interrogation process to cal Solid Geomet d Geometry 1 models	of appropriate algorithms that characterizes the signature data by means of pect-angle dependence, deoffs inexorably lead to a a measured signature espective of the specific s a predictive signature is used to pass particular hysics employed in the used. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 16. PRICE CODE
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words The principal focus of Automat to process the output of multi-s signatures of targets of interest, measurement records alone. In target and background configur requirement for predictive signa database can be leveraged signi representation used for three-dia application code read that database geometric and material attribute application is both enabled and SUBJECT TERMS Automatic Object Recogn Synthetic Aperture Radaray tracing SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 	tic Object Recognition (AOR) spectral sensor arrays. Given the , it is normally impossible to sub- dividual sensor characteristics ation (singly and in synergism) ature modeling methods. By m ificantly, improving the fidelity mensional geometry and mater base directly. Rather, a specifies to the application code. Cle constrained by the form of the constrained by the form of the mathematica 8. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	involves the generation he high dimensionality atisfy the need for raw in conjunction with asp), and multi-spectral tra- neans of this stratagem, of the simulation. Irre- ial database, rarely doe c interrogation method arly the nature of the p c interrogation process to cal Solid Geomet d Geometry 1 models 19. SECURITY CLASSIFIC OF ABSTRACT	of appropriate algorithms that characterizes the signature data by means of sect-angle dependence, deoffs inexorably lead to a a measured signature espective of the specific s a predictive signature is used to pass particular hysics employed in the used. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES ry 45 16. PRICE CODE CATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRAC

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

-

Page

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	v
ABSTRACT	1
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. CASE STUDIES	2
2.1 Examples of Radar Imagery	2
2.2 The SRIM Code of ERIM	4
2.3 The SARSIM Code of Northrop	4
2.4 The TRACK Code of GTRI	4
2.5 Discussion of Approaches	5
3. GEOMETRIC INTERFACES	6
3.1 Ray-Tracing	6
3.1.1 RT Library Interface	7
3.1.2 Sample RT Application	7
3.1.3 Ray Intersection Data	8
3.1.4 Surface Normals	9
3.1.5 Gaussian Curvature	9
3.1.6 U-V Mapping	9
3.2 Topological Representation	10
3.3 3-D Surface Mesh	10
3.4 3-D Volume Mesh	11
3.5 3-D Volume Elements (Voxels)	12
3.8 Homogeneous Trimmed B-Splines	13
3.7 Analytic Analysis	13
4. SUMMARY	13
APPENDIX	14
REFERENCES	17
DISTRIBUTION LIST	19

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

•

.

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Page
1. Optical Image of an AFV	. 3
2. Computed SAR Image for Target Resolution of 10 Inches	. 3
3. Application Control of Multiple Bounces	. 8
4. Logic Flow for n-Manifold Geometry Processing	. 12
A-1. Organization of BRL-CAD Database Representation	. 15
A-2. Current Applications of BRL-CAD.	. 15

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

.

......

Issues in automatic object recognition: Linking geometry and material data to predictive signature codes[‡]

Paul H. Deitz Michael J. Muuss Edwin O. Davisson

Vulnerability/Lethality Division US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

ABSTRACT

The principal focus of Automatic Object Recognition (AOR) involves the generation of appropriate algorithms to process the output of multi-spectral sensor arrays. Given the high dimensionality that characterizes the signatures of targets of interest, it is normally impossible to satisfy the need for raw signature data by means of measurement records alone. Individual sensor characteristics in conjunction with aspect-angle dependence, target and background configuration (singly and in synergism) and multi-spectral tradeoffs inexorably lead to a requirement for predictive signature modeling methods. By means of this stratagem, a measured signature data base can be leveraged significantly, improving the fidelity of the overall simulation.

Irrespective of the specific representation used for a three-dimensional geometry and material database, rarely does a predictive signature application code read that database directly. Rather, a specific interrogation method is used to pass particular geometric and material attributes to the application code. Clearly the nature of the physics employed in the application is both enabled and constrained by the form of the interrogation process used.

In this paper, several examples of predictive radar codes are given, illustrating several strikingly different ways of linking geometry to applications. Following those examples the interface methods known to the authors will be described. While many of the techniques have already been implemented, some are currently in development. In addition, the utility of various techniques will be related to particular application codes.

1. INTRODUCTION

The principal focus of Automatic Object Recognition (AOR) involves the generation of appropriate algorithms to process the output of what are often multi-spectral sensor arrays. The generation and testing of such algorithms necessarily require the full panoply of desired object (target) signatures as well as undesired and unavoidable (clutter) signatures. Even ignoring the sensor response characteristics (time and space resolution, polarization, noise, etc.), both the target and clutter signature sets are unbounded even for a single frequency, let alone in multi-spectral domains. The unboundedness is strictly true for these signature sets and most probably so even for derived statistical measures.

Given, therefore, the requirements of a typical AOR project for copious signature files for algorithm generation and testing, it is normally impossible to satisfy the need by means of measurement records alone. The singlefrequency sensor characteristics noted above in conjunction with aspect angle dependence, target and background configuration (singly and in synergism) and multi-spectral tradeoffs, inexorably lead to a requirement for predictive

[‡] Presented at the Advanced Institute Program on Automatic Object Recognition, sponsored by the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE), Cocoa Beach, FL, April 21-23, 1990.

modeling methods. By means of this stratagem, a measured signature data base can be leveraged significantly, improving the fidelity of the overall simulation.

For more than twenty years, the Ballistic Research Laboratory (BRL) has been utilizing solid geometric modeling methods to support vulnerability, lethality and neutron transport studies of military targets.¹⁻³ In such item-level studies, target geometry and material information are passed to various application codes to derive certain measures-of-performance. Building on the general paradigm, workers at BRL and elsewhere have extended the general techniques to support many predictive signature models⁴⁻⁶ including optical, millimetre wave (MMW),[†] Infra-Red (IR), magnetic and X-ray models.

It is important to note that this type of analysis must generally be supported by a *solid* geometric model. A solid model⁷ is a computer description of closed, solid, three-dimensional shapes represented by an analytical framework within which the three-dimensional material can be completely and unambiguously defined. Two major families of solid model representations exist, each with several unique advantages. The first is the Combinatorial Solid Geometry Representation (CSG-Rep).⁸ Solid models of this type are expressed as boolean combinations of primitive solids which are geometric entities described by some set of parameters and occupying a fixed volume in space. The second is the Boundary Representation (B-Rep), of which there are two sub-types: (1) *explicit*, where each solid is described by an explicit enumeration of the extent of the surface of the solid; and (2) *implicit*, where the surface of the solid is described by an analytic function such as a Coons patch, Bezier patch, B-spline, etc. Hybrid systems such as the BRL-CAD Package⁹ also exist.

Irrespective of the specific representation used for a three-dimensional geometry and material data base, rarely does an application code read that database directly. Rather, a specific interrogation method is invoked to pass particular geometric and material attributes from a source or reference file to the application code. Clearly the nature of the physics employed in the application is both enabled and constrained by the form of the interrogation process used.

In this paper, several examples of predictive radar codes are given, illustrating several strikingly different ways of linking geometry to applications. Following those examples the interface methods known to the authors will be described. Many of the techniques have already been implemented; some are currently in development. In addition, the utility of various techniques will be related to particular application codes.

2. CASE STUDIES IN PREDICTIVE RADAR MODELING

2.1 Examples of Synthetic Aperture Radar Imagery

Early radars were designed to estimate target range and closing rates. For these systems, the main parameter of interest for a given target was Radar Cross Section (RCS). The RCS figure represents the efficiency with which radar waves are scattered back to the receiver. Certain modern radars, when placed on moving platforms such as aircraft, can be used to form two-dimensional images of targets. Radar imagery of this class is called Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR). A description of an Armored Fighting Vehicle (AFV) has been analyzed with a SAR program¹⁰ (to be described below) and the results are shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

Figure 1, left-hand side, shows the AFV as seen by the SAR radar from a $(35^{\circ}, 30^{\circ})$ (Azimuth, Elevation) orientation. A horizontal flight path (left to right) is assumed. The properties of SAR processing are such that following signal detection and manipulation an image is derived which resolves the target in range and cross-range (along the flight path) but not in the remaining orthogonal direction. Thus the final SAR image orientation is similar to the optical rendering shown in the right-hand side of Fig. 1.

[†] Recently, an initiative was made to consolidate Radar Cross Section (RCS) code development sponsored by the Tri-Services and NASA. The Electromagnetic Code Consortium has chosen the geometry tools developed by the BRL as the basis for radar codes to be sponsored by this group. See Ref. 6.



Figure 1. On the left an optical image of an AFV illustrating the radar view of the target $(35^{\circ}, 30^{\circ})$ for a synthetic aperture radar (SAR) simulation. The SAR is modeled as moving in the azimuthal direction (elevation and range constant). On the right, complementary optical image to that shown on the left; the apparent aspect is $(215^{\circ}, 60^{\circ})$ and is suggestive of the SAR reconstructive process when range is plotted against cross-range as in Fig. 2. (From Ref. 10)



Figure 2. Computed SAR image for target resolution of 10 inches. Left-hand image is for 10 Ghz, Vertical/ Vertical (co-polarization transmit/ receive) modes; right-hand image is for Vertical/ Horizontal (cross-polarization transmit/ receive) modes. (From Ref. 10)

A pair of SAR images for the AFV is shown in Fig. 2. Both images are computed for a target resolution of 10 inches. The left image is the result of a transmit Vertical, receive Vertical polarization mode; the right, for a Vertical/Horizontal polarization mode. In each of these images, the radar signal is propagating from top down. Range information is plotted along the ordinate and cross-range data along the abscissa.

2.2 The SRIM Code of ERIM

Simulated Radar IMagery (SRIM) is a high-frequency predictive radar code developed at the Environmental Research Institute of Michigan (ERIM).¹¹ Early versions of the code used a geometry representation scheme for which no convenient editor existed. In 1983, ERIM linked its electromagnetics section of SRIM to BRL's CSG-Rep geometric modeling capability. BRL geometry is described in terms of Boolean combinations (intersections, unions, differences) of primitive objects such as ellipsoids, cylinders, and tori. Ray-tracing is used to extract geometric information from the database. The ERIM-BRL link provides an easy means for generating geometry descriptions through an excellent graphics editor and a natural geometry interrogation technique for determining ray path information. SRIM follows the Geometric Optics (GO) paths of rays as they hit and reflect from the target surfaces. For each ray emanating from the radar a piecewise-linear path is traced through the geometry and a history is recorded of hit-point coordinates, normal vectors, principal curvatures, principal curvature directions and a flag designating whether or not line-of-sight exists to the radar. This geometric information is then passed to the electromagnetics portion of the model. At each hit point along the ray path, the incoming illumination wavefront is resolved into parallel and perpendicular components, a complex Physical Optics (PO) field contribution at the radar is calculated, taking into account the wavelength of the system and this contribution is then resolved into its vertical and horizontal polarization components. SRIM is thus able to determine the PO field contributions for not only first-surface illumination, but also for contributions due to multiple bounces along the specular directions. If a total radar cross section is desired, this complex return is summed into a total field variable. If a SAR image is desired, the location at which this contribution would appear in an image is calculated and added to the appropriate range/cross-range bin. Image parameters such as resolution, beam weighting and pixel size are specified in a separate file.

If a vehicle on a ground plane is the object of interest, SRIM can generate a clutter model for the ground backscatter and will properly represent the shadowing of the ground plane by the vehicle. In fact, all shadowing effects are correctly represented as a natural result of the ray-tracing paradigm used in this simulation.

2.3 The SARSIM Code of Northrop

SARSIM is another high-frequency synthetic aperture radar simulation code. It was developed at the Northrop Research and Technology Center.¹² In this model, the radar images of the target and surrounding background are computed separately. In a final step the two images are combined *via* processing which reflects appropriate noise, speckle and system-response values. For the geometric calculations an underlying target representation of triangular plates is employed. For a given SAR resolution, each of the triangular plates in the target description is subdivided into panels whose contributions fall into a single SAR resolution bin. For each of these panels in the target description, a PO calculation is carried out to determine the contribution to the corresponding SAR resolution bin. This is also done for a Physical Theory of Diffraction calculation on the panel edges. As of 1987 only the specular (first surface) and diffraction contributions were considered in this model. A simplified shadowing algorithm eliminates any panels partially obscured by other panels. Multiple reflections are not considered yet.

2.4 The TRACK Code of GTRI

Georgia Tech Research Institute (GTRI) has developed a radar prediction tool called TRACK.¹³ The geometry used by TRACK is based on a subset of objects supported by the GTRI MAX geometry editor. A hybrid representation of simple shapes such as ellipsoids, plates, frusta and special radar-specific constructs such as dihedrals and trihedrals are accepted by the TRACK code. TRACK has a series of subroutines referred to as CROSS which calculate the field contribution of the individual scatterers in a MAX geometry file. CROSS uses PO to calculate the fields for polygonal flat plates, right circular frusta, general ellipsoids, ogives and toroids. A combination of Geometric and Physical Optics is use to calculate the fields for dihedral and trihedral shapes. CROSS also predicts diffraction from straight and curved edges by methods of equivalent currents to avoid problems associated with caustics. The returns from the individual scatterers can be summed coherently.

noncoherently, or retained in complex form for postprocessing. Shadowing is handled by ignoring all plates that face away from the radar and by excluding from the electromagnetics calculations any scatterer that is completely obscured by other geometric elements. When an element is partially covered, the full energy of that element is returned, which can sometimes be a source of error. For post-processing, a file with scatterer types, location, size and orientation is generated. This can then be used in numerous scenarios, such as SAR, doppler radar, and ISAR (Inverse SAR).

2.5 Discussion of Approaches

Each of the aforementioned high-frequency scattering codes required compromise. The choice of geometric representation and interrogation method led to advantages and shortcomings. Choosing a particular geometric approach often requires approximations in the implementation of the physics of an analysis. Likewise, a particular formulation of a physical analysis can force the acceptance of a simplified and less general geometry representation for the sake of getting some portion of the problem solved.

SRIM uses the information gathered by ray-tracing to determine a scattered field. Fields are calculated locally so that the ray-trace sampling density can be chosen small enough to guarantee that each contribution to the scattered field will lie in a unique resolution cell, a feature not guaranteed by the GTRI model. While the raytracing technique is computationally intensive, the automatic shadowing and multiple bounce calculations are attractive features. If two modeled surfaces are at a right angle to each other, the SRIM code will calculate a scattered field that is appropriate for a dihedral without requiring the explicit designation of a dihedral element in the geometry file. This approach does have the severe cost of requiring ray-tracing densities which are frequency dependent, so that the number of rays which must be cast at the target description for a 94 GHz radar calculation is on the order of 100 times the number of rays needed at 10 GHz. Originally the code simply point-sampled the field contribution at a location, but this approach suffered from aliasing problems due to under sampling high spatial frequency (fine detail) geometric elements. Improvements have been made so that the field contribution is integrated over a planar approximation at the scattering surface, so that field calculations are less sensitive to raytrace sampling densities. Even so, with a rav-tracing approach, one can still run the risk of not adequately sampling the fine detail in the geometric model, although increasing sampling densities with ray-tracing makes the inter-ray sampling distances arbitrarily small. It is possible to place an upper bound on the size of geometric objects that will be under sampled; with knowledge about the distribution of feature sizes in the geometry, the ray spacing can be chosen so as to make it statistically unlikely to miss any details.

Only PO fields are calculated for SRIM. Diffraction effects occurring at edges are not calculated because the ray-tracing interrogation method does not provide the required edge information. Some of the representational schemes discussed later will show how this might be accomplished with an alternate form for extracting information from the model geometry.

One advantage of the SARSIM approach to field calculations is that integration takes place over each facet or panel in a target description and all of the modeled geometry is guaranteed to be included in the calculation. SRIM can not guarantee this. Likewise, if one has adopted a particular resolution cell size and subdivided the triangular plates into panels appropriate for the resolution cell size, there will be no increase in the number of panels for an increase in the frequency of the radar. On the other hand, in modeling the target with flat plates, one must approximate any curved surfaces and thereby introduce some uncertainty about the fidelity of the geometry itself, since flat plate representations of curved surfaces introduce edges where no real edges exist. Thus, each edge must be marked by the geometry modeler, to indicate if the edge is an actual edge, or a modeling artifact. For radar diffraction calculations this criterion must be used to trigger the inclusion or exclusion of edges.

Multiple reflections are not modeled in SARSIM, so any radar signature dominated by multiple bounces of energy within the target will be poorly modeled. Many ground vehicles, especially tracked vehicles, exhibit dramatic dihedral and trihedral returns, making multiple-bounce considerations a primary concern. The use of piecewise-linear target representations does lend itself to polygonal projection for determining multiple path interaction, thereby avoiding ray-tracing. However, such an approach introduces its own set of difficulties. These techniques require significant computation to find all the facet-to-facet "form factors". For a geometry with N facets, a solution to the global illumination problem requires a system of N equations in N unknowns to be solved. With most targets of interest the value of N is quite large, and performing Gaussian elimination on the N-by-N matrix is often prohibitive.

The GTRI radar simulation provides highly accurate electromagnetic calculations for most of its primitive geometry types. Of the three models considered here, it provides the most detailed calculations for certain simple objects. For many modeling scenarios this approach gives excellent results. However, the technique for combining these component field calculations for a complex geometric object fails to address some important issues. The basic approach of modeling complex geometry with simple objects for which highly detailed electromagnetic field calculation are known, has been used for many years.¹⁴ For simple shapes, *e.g.* rockets, or for objects where a few scatterers with wide spatial separation dominate the returns, this has been a highly successful technique. When the target under consideration has non-convex complexity such as seen in a tank, the effects of multiple-reflection scattering cannot be ignored. Although the GTRI model has dihedral and trihedral geometric primitives to account for some multiple reflection scattering, the modeler must provide the information of how large and where these objects should be. Even with this feature, multiple reflection paths may escape the modeler's attention or be of such complexity as to be insufficiently modeled by dihedrals or trihedrals. To deal with this type of scattering with a general-purpose code that does not require operator intervention, it would be necessary to appeal to another geometric model of higher resolution to find the multiple reflection paths.

One other shortcoming of this method is the coarseness of the criterion for determining when one primitive obscures or shadows another. The GTRI method does not sufficiently subdivide the scattering geometry, so that full-power returns to the radar are considered to exist even when the scattering object is almost completely obscured. Only when a primitive is totally obscured is the electromagnetic scattering omitted from the field calculation. Notice that even if it were geometrically simple to determine what portion of a given solid is obscured, this electromagnetics calculation method would not provide the field contribution from the partially visible portion. Still worse problems arise for imaging radar simulations if the scattering object is geometrically larger than a resolution cell, since a given scatterer has only one field value and that field value cannot be distributed over more than one cell. This all-or-nothing field calculation method is a consequence of the physics being constrained by the chosen geometry interface.

A geometric representation method and an interface of that method to the scattering calculations have been selected in each of the three codes just discussed. These choices clearly have advantages and liabilities. Any code requiring geometric information will likewise be limited in some areas and enhanced in others by the choices of the geometric representation and the interface of that geometry to the analysis. Each analysis code described so far employs only one of these geometry/analysis code links, but significant benefits might be gained from analysis codes that use two or more of these interfaces simultaneously. Examples of the information that can be readily provided from various geometry representations and interfaces will be discussed in the following sections.

3. GEOMETRIC INTERFACES

In what follows a number of methods are described by which geometric and material data are supplied to applications codes. Where possible, the interface methods developed and supported by the BRL-CAD geometry tools^{9,15} will be used to describe and illustrate these processes. A goal of BRL-CAD has been to provide a general and open analysis environment in which a variety of interrogation interfaces are supported so that diverse application codes can be driven from a single, unified geometric model.¹⁶ The **APPENDIX** gives a brief overview of the BRL-CAD modeling environment and some strategies which have guided its development.

3.1 Ray-tracing

Rays begin at a point \vec{P} , and proceed infinitely in a given direction given by the unit normal vector \vec{D} . The *direction vector* or *direction cosines* for the ray (D_x, D_y, D_z) are the cosines of the angle between the ray and each of the Cartesian axes. Any point \vec{A} on a ray may be expressed as a linear combination of \vec{P} and \vec{D} by the formula

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} = \vec{\mathbf{P}} + \mathbf{k} * \vec{\mathbf{D}}$$

where valid values for k are in the range $[0, \infty]$.

The traditional approach to ray-tracing has been batch-oriented, with the user defining a set of "viewing angles", initiating a large batch job to compute all the ray intersections and then post-processing all the ray data into some meaningful form. However, the major drawback of this approach is that the application has no immediate control over ray paths, making another batch run necessary for each level of reflection, etc.

In order to be successful, applications need: (1) interactive control of ray paths, to naturally implement reflection, refraction and fragmenting into multiple subsidiary rays and (2) the ability to fire rays in arbitrary directions from arbitrary points. Nearly all non-batch implementations have closely coupled a specific application (typically a model of illumination) with the ray-tracing code, allowing efficient and effective control of the ray paths. The most flexible approach of all is to provide the ray-tracing capability through a general-purpose library and make the functionality available as needed to any application. For example, the decision of when a ray should be reflected, transmitted, or absorbed should be entirely under the control of the application program. A set of sample ray histories that might result from an application exerting such interactive control is shown in Fig. 3.

3.1.1 RT Library Interface: The third generation ray-tracing capability in the BRL-CAD Package is a set of library routines in **librt** to allow application programs to intersect rays with model geometry. There are two parts to the interface: "preparation" routines and the actual ray-tracing routine. **rt_dirbuild()** opens the database file and builds the in-core database table of contents. **rt_gettree()** adds a database sub-tree to the active model space, and can be called multiple times to join different parts of the database together.

To compute the intersection of a ray with the geometry in the active model space, the application must call $rt_shootray()$ once for each ray. Ray behaviors such as perspective, reflection, refraction, etc, are entirely determined by the applications program logic, and not by the ray-tracing library. The ray-path specification determined by the applications program is passed as a parameter to $rt_shootray()$ in the **application** structure, which contains five major elements: the vector a_ray.r_pt (\vec{P}) which is the starting point of the ray, the vector a_ray.r_dir (\vec{D}) which is the unit-length direction vector, the pointer *a_hit() to an application-provided routine to be called when some geometry is hit by the ray, the pointer *a_miss() to an application-provided routine to be called when the ray does not hit any geometry, and the variable a_onehit. In addition, there are various locations for applications to store state information such as recursion level, intermediate color values, and cumulative ray distance.

When the a_onehit variable is set to zero, the ray is traced through the entire model. Applications such as lighting models may often only be interested in the first object hit; in this case, a_onehit may be set to the value one to stop ray-tracing as soon as the ray has intersected at least one piece of geometry. Similarly, if only the first three hits are required (such as in the routine that refracts light through glass), then a_onehit may be given the value of three. Then, at most three hit points will be returned, an in-hit, an out-hit and a subsequent in-hit. When only a limited number of intersections are required, the use of this flag can provide a significant savings in run-time.

The $rt_shootray()$ function is designed for full recursion so that the application provided $a_hit()/a_miss()$ routines can themselves fire additional rays by recursively calling $rt_shootray()$ before deciding their own return value. In addition, the function $rt_shootray()$ is fully capable of operating in parallel with other instances of itself in the same address space, allowing the application to take advantage of parallel hardware capabilities where such exist.

3.1.2 Sample RT Application: A simple application program that fires one ray at a model and prints the result is included below, to demonstrate the simplicity of the interface to **librt**.



Figure 3. Application Control of Multiple Bounces

```
struct application ap;
main() {
    rt_dirbuild("model.g");
    rt_gettree("car");
    rt_prep();
    VSET( ap.a_point, 100, 0, 0 );
    VSET( ap.a_dir, -1, 0, 0 );
    ap.a_hit = &hit_geom;
    ap.a_miss = \&miss\_geom;
    rt_shootray( &ap );
}
hit_geom(app, part)
struct application *app;
struct partition *part;
{
    printf("Hit %s", part->pt_forw->pt_regionp->reg_name);
}
miss_geom(){
    printf("Missed");
}
```

3.1.3 Ray Intersection Data: If a given ray hits some model geometry, the a_hit() routine is called and is provided a pointer to the head of a doubly-linked list of **partition** structures. Each **partition** structure contains information about a line segment along the ray; the partition has both an "in" (**pt_inhit**) and an "out" (**pt_outhit**) hit point. Each hit point is characterized by the hit distance **hit_dist**, which is the distance k from the starting point **r_pt** along the ray to the hit point. The linked list of **partition** structures is sorted by ascending values of **hit_dist**. As a result of this definition, the "line-of-sight" distance between any two hit points can be determined simply by subtracting the two **hit_dist** values. This will give the distance between the hit points, in millimeters.

If the variable a_onehit was set non-zero, then only the first a_onehit hit points along the partition list are guaranteed to be correct; any additional hit points provided should be ignored. This is usually important only

when a_onehit was set to an odd number; in this case the value of pt_outhit in the last **partition** structure may not be accurate and should be ignored.

If the actual 3-space coordinates of the hit point are required, they can be computed into the hit_point element with the C-language version of $\vec{A} = \vec{P} + k * \vec{D}$:

VJOIN1(hitp->hit_point, rayp->r_pt, hitp->hit_dist, rayp->r_dir);

3.1.4 Surface Normals: As an efficiency measure only the hit distances are computed when a ray is intersected with the model geometry. For any hit point, the surface normal at that point can be easily acquired by executing the C macro:

RT_HIT_NORM(hitp, stp, rayp);

In addition to providing the unit-length outward-pointing surface normal in struct hit element hit_normal, this macro also computes the 3-space coordinates of the hit point in struct hit element hit_point.

3.1.5 Gaussian Curvature: For any hit point, after the surface normal has been computed, the Gaussian surface curvature at that hit point can be acquired by executing the C macro:

RT_CURVE(curvp, hitp, stp);

At the hit point, there exists exactly one pair of orthogonal directions also orthogonal to the surface normal \overline{N} for which the values of c take on the minimum and maximum values c_1 and c_2 . c_1 and c_2 are the inverse radii of curvature and $|c_1| \leq |c_2|$, *i.e.* c_1 is the most nearly flat principle curvature. A positive curvature indicates that the surface bends toward the (outward pointing) normal vector \overline{N} at the hit point. A curvature structure has three elements, the unit vector \mathbf{crv} -pdir (or \overline{A}) pointing in the direction of the first principle curvature, the scalar \mathbf{crv} -c1 (or c_1) giving the curvature in the first principle direction and the scalar \mathbf{crv} -c2 (or c_2) giving the curvature in the second principle direction $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$. The second principle direction $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$ is implied and can be found by taking the cross product of the normal with \mathbf{crv} -pdir, *i.e.*, $\overline{\mathbf{B}} = \overline{\mathbf{N}} \times \overline{\mathbf{A}}$.

3.1.6 U-V Mapping: Each primitive solid can be considered to be bounded by one or more *regular surfaces*. Each regular surface is defined as the locus of points $\vec{S}(u,v)$ depending on two real parameters u and v which range from 0.0 to 1.0 inclusively. These parameters form the coordinates of a two-dimensional Cartesian u,v-plane. A given (u,v) coordinate will appear only once on each regular surface, but in objects with more than one surface that same (u,v) pair may appear at more than one place on the object. The (u,v) coordinate of the hit point is returned in **uvcoord** structure elements uv_u and uv_v . For any hit point, after the value of **hit_point** has been computed, the (u,v) coordinates of that point can be acquired by executing the C macro:

RT_HIT_UVCOORD(ap, stp, hitp, uvp);

For some simple optical rendering applications, it is sometimes desirable to create a mapping between the coordinate system on the surface of an object to coordinates on a plane. This is generally used to drive simple, two dimensional *texture mapping* algorithms. The most common application is to extract a "paint" color from a rectangular image file at coordinates (u,v), and apply this color to the surface of an object. These parameters can also be used to simulate the effect of minor surface roughness using the *bump mapping* technique. Here, the u and v coordinates index into a rectangular file of perturbation angles; the surface normal returned by RT_HIT_NORM() is then modified by up to ± 90 degrees each in both the u and v directions, according to the stored perturbation.

In addition, the approximate "beam coverage" of the ray in terms of the parameters (u,v) is returned in the structure elements uv_du and uv_dv. These approximate values are based upon the ray's initial beam radius (a_rbeam) and beam divergence per millimeter (a_diverge) as specified in the application structure. These delta-u and delta-v values can be helpful for anti-aliasing or filtering areas of the original texture map to produce an "area sample" value for the hit point.

3.2 Topological Representation

Some predictive radar signature codes, such as the TRACK code of GTRI discussed above, do not operate directly on a solid geometric representation of an object. Instead, they rely on the fact that large radar returns occur primarily due to the existence of dihedral and trihedral structures in the object. Rather than describing a vehicle simply as a collection of these topological structures, one can analyze a three dimensional solid model to locate all instances of the topological features of interest. For example, the software could locate planar face elements, edges where two locally planar elements join to make a dihedral, edges where three locally planar elements join to make a trihedral, etc. Then this list of topological features becomes the input to the feature-based analysis code.

Due to the rather broad set of possible interpretations of the term "feature", each kind of topological feature extraction is itself considered an application program and, therefore, is not a standard part of the interrogation library. The process of topological feature extraction is currently programmed using the ray-tracing interrogation features described above.[†]

3.3 3-D Surface Mesh

Combinatorial Solid Geometry (CSG) models are formed by the boolean combination of "primitive" solids.⁴ For example, a plate with a hole is most easily modeled as a plate primitive minus a cylinder primitive. It is important that in CSG models, there is no explicit representation of the surfaces of the solids stored; indeed, for complex boolean combinations of complex primitives, some of the resultant shapes may have very convoluted topology and surfaces that may be at best high degree polynomials.

There are many applications that would benefit from being able to express an *approximation* of these complex shapes created using CSG modeling as a collection of planar N-sided polygons (N-gons) which together enclose roughly the same volume of space as the original CSG solid. The most obvious such application is to drive polygon-based rendering routines (lighting modules) for predictive optical signatures. On many modern workstations there is direct hardware or firmware support for high-speed rendering of polygons. In addition, there are whole collections of polygon-based predictive infrared and radar signature programs. Some of the most accurate radar signatures have been calculated using the Method of Moments.¹⁷ This approach requires a three dimensional surface tessellation to sub-wavelength resolution over an entire geometric model.

A sensible strategy for converting a CSG model to the equivalent approximate three dimensional surface mesh is to tackle the problem in two parts. First, a routine has to be written to convert each of the primitive solids into tessellated form. Second, a routine has to be written to take two tessellated objects and combine them according to a boolean operation (union, intersection or subtraction) back into a consistent set of solid tessellated objects. Until very recently, this second step has proven extremely difficult. The topology of solid tessellated objects has traditionally been represented using the "winged-edge" data structure. Within the winged-edge representation, an edge represents the boundary or intersection between exactly two faces. Unfortunately, this structure fails to handle other valid configurations, such as an edge being shared by four faces, or an edge being part of only a single ("dangling") face. These other configurations arise when the topology of an object is not that of a simple 3manifold, *i.e.* when the topology of the object can not be mapped to a sphere. These non-3-manifold conditions arise in the construction of finite element meshes, and from the use of the boolean intersection operation.

The inability of the winged-edge data structure to represent non-3-manifold configurations resulted in the development of a more general data structure^{18,19}. This new data structure has been dubbed alternately the "radial-edge", "Non-Manifold Geometry" (NMG), or "*n*-Manifold Geometry" data structure. The radial-edge representation provides topological links between *all* faces which share an edge. This single representation has the ability to handle *n*-manifolds (M^n) for $0 \le n \le 3$: 3-manifolds (solid objects), 2-manifolds (lone faces, not part of a solid), 1-manifolds (lone edges, not part of a face) and 0-manifolds (lone points, not part of an edge).

[†] See Ref. 5, Figs. 8 and 9.

Consider the intersection of two solids that share only a single face, edge, or vertex. The result of the intersection will be a manifold object of dimension less than three. The winged-edge data structure is unable to represent these boolean results, because it requires every edge to adjoin exactly two faces. Thus, the winged-edge representation is not closed under boolean operations. To overcome this lack of closure, winged-edge systems substitute *regularized boolean operators* which are defined to produce only 3-manifold results; all lesser dimension results are discarded. In contrast, because all manifold objects of dimension less than three can be represented using the NMG data structures, NMG objects are closed under boolean operations.

Employing the NMG representation for faceted solid objects gives rise to the rich set of possibilities diagramed in Fig. 4. From this diagram it should be clear that the final evaluated NMG solid object can be employed in a variety of ways. The primary use will be for input to analysis codes that need an approximate 3-D surface mesh of the solid model. In this case, the NMG objects are sent across the interface, either directly into an application, or via a triangulator that turns the planar N-gon faces of the NMG objects into simple triangle lists and thence to applications such as SARSIM. However, a very powerful second use will be to create new faceted shapes which are then stored back in the database as new geometric objects, suitable for future editing or analysis.

While a detailed description of the NMG data structures is beyond the scope of this paper,²⁰ there are several advantageous properties of the NMGs that are worth mentioning. The NMG representation maintains full topology information, so that the relationships between vertices, edges, loops, faces and shells are continuously available. The geometry information associated with a planar face is the plane equation (which includes the outward-pointing surface normal); the plane equation does not have to be re-derived from the vertices. For applications that would prefer visual realism rather than geometric fidelity, there is room in the vertex geometry structure to carry around a "phony" normal for each vertex, suitable for intensity interpolation shading algorithms used in Gouraud shading,²¹ or for normal-vector interpolation shading algorithms.

One of the most exciting current research projects at BRL is the extension of the NMG framework to permit faces either to be planar N-gons, or trimmed Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines ("trimmed NURBS"). This will permit many of the tessellation operations to be implemented exactly, rather than as approximations. This will also permit solids to enjoy the economy of having most faces be represented as planar N-gons, which are very compact and efficient to process, while those few faces that require sculptured surface shape control can be represented as trimmed NURBS. This combination provides both efficiency and full shape control in the rich nmanifold topological framework; a combination that does not exist in any current commercial CAD system.

3.4 3-D Volume Mesh

Many forms of energy flow analysis, such as heat flow, vibrational analysis (acoustic energy flow), and stress analysis require the use of 3-D Finite-Element Mesh (FEM) techniques. While there has been some work on using the ray-tracing paradigm to construct finite element and finite difference meshes²² it has been difficult to deal with high spatial frequency (fine detail) portions of the model. In particular, meshing small diameter pipes is problematic; undersampling can cause the pipe to incorrectly be separated into multiple pieces.

In order to improve on the current state of affairs, it seems necessary to provide support for the generation of volume meshes directly as part of the application interface. This would provide the meshing algorithm to have unrestricted access to the underlying geometry, the space partitioning tree, and other internal data in order to perform a better job.

Even more promising still would be a strategy that takes advantage of the NMG support. A first pass might tessellate the model and evaluate the booleans to produce a surface mesh. The second pass would then take the surface mesh and fill the interior (or exterior) volumes with appropriately chosen volume elements. A very good fit could probably be achieved using only parallelepiped ("brick") elements and 20-node "superelements". The brick elements would be used to fill interior volume that does not border on a face, and the superelements would be used for volume that contacts a face. Recourse could be made back to the underlying geometry (perhaps via firing a few well chosen rays) to get the curvature of the superelement faces to match the curvature of the underlying primitive, rather than having to rely strictly on the NMG planar-face approximation.



Figure 4. Logic flow for n-Manifold Geometry (NMG) processing.

3.5 3-D Volume Elements (Voxels)

A representation which is similar to the finite-element mesh is based on Volume Elements (VOXELS). There are two distinct kinds of voxels. The first kind of voxels can be considered a special case of volume meshing discussed previously, in which the model is "diced" into a large collection of homogeneous parallelepiped ("brick") elements. As one example, ERIM has a utility program which uses ray-tracing to convert BRL CSG-format geometry to this kind of voxel representation to feed a first-principles IR model.²³ In contrast to the ERIM's voxel modeling approach, the Physically Reasonable Infra-Red Signature Model (PRISM) predictive IR code, developed at the Keweenaw Research Center, is based on a geometry of flat plates.²⁴ Two codes linking the BRL CSG-format geometry to PRISM have been developed: the TACOM FRED editor²⁵ and the BRL irprep program.²⁶

A distinctly different form of voxel representation is based upon the use of 8-way binary space subdivision stored using an "oct-tree" data structure. In this technique, the model is enclosed in a bounding box. The bounding box is evenly split along the X, Y and Z axes to form eight smaller boxes. This algorithm is applied recursively so that all boxes which are neither entirely full nor entirely empty are repeatedly split, until the size of the voxels satisfies some termination condition. In this way, small voxels that lie along the surface of objects can fit arbitrarily tightly to the surface, while the interior of an isomorphic region will be contained primarily in a single large voxel.

The oct-tree representation provides the application program with a homogeneous geometric representation based entirely on cubes of varying size. Having such a homogeneous representation can often greatly ease the task of algorithm development. On the other hand, achieving a good approximation of curved objects using cubes requires a huge number of voxels to be used, resulting in very large voxel datasets, and an exponential increase (order N⁶) in the number of element-to-element equations to be solved. The oct-tree approach to IR signature generation is employed in the BRL-CAD program lgt.²⁷

3.6 Homogeneous Trimmed B-Splines

When support for trimmed NURB faces has been added to the NMG capability, it will be possible to represent all existing primitives either with exact rational B-spline versions, or with very good rational B-spline approximations. This could be done even for faces that were completely planar.

This offers the hope that it might be possible (albeit memory intensive) to convert an entire CSG solid model into a homogeneous collection of non-uniform rational B-spline faces organized in a n-manifold topological data structure. In addition to the conceptual simplicity afforded by having a uniform representation for shape, this affords the opportunity to create new analysis codes that can process curved surfaces, yet at least initially only have to deal with one kind of shape. This would also provide a very direct and natural interface to spline-based and Bezier-patch²⁸ based modeling systems.

3.7 Analytic Analysis

Given a homogeneous geometric representation such as the Trimmed B-Splines just discussed which also has an analytic representation, a further processing capability arises. Rather than interrogating the data base by means of sampling or subdivision techniques, the direct mathematical manipulation of the source geometry through its parametric representation becomes possible. Calculations of physical properties requiring integration over a surface can often be evaluated with greater accuracy using an explicit analytic calculation than could be provided by numerical methods. While this may be difficult in general due to the complexity of a parametric expression, some classes of surface representations may be good candidates for this approach. Splines, for example, are piecewise-polynomial functions which have relatively simple Fourier transform representations. Since 2-D spatial Fourier transforms arise frequently in far-field electromagnetic scattering calculations, exploitation of the parametric spline form is of interest in predictive scattering calculations. Direct use of spline parameters in a PO scattering model is part of the methodology used at the Aircraft Division of The Northrop Corporation.²⁹

Support for this tack may be inferred from the work of Schneider and Peden who have exploited analytic methods for calculation of radar cross sections using dielectric ellipsoids³⁰ in the detection of buried targets.³¹ Here the approach involves the approximation of the geometry of interest with a shape for which the analytic solution of the electromagnetic scattering problem can be solved. Great care must be taken to insure that the errors introduced by the geometry are a small perturbation of the desired solution.

With the rapidly developing potential of symbolic calculation, treatment of seemingly impossible formulas resulting from the geometry/physics interaction may become tenable. This could help to reduce the trend towards employing numerical methods at the onset of a problem and avoid some of the accompanying instabilities and errors.

4. SUMMARY

In this paper we have discussed some issues basic to the prediction of signatures in support of Automatic Object Recognition, in particular the way in which three-dimensional geometry and material data are linked to certain applications. This point was illustrated *via* a discussion of three predictive radar codes, each designed for essentially the same application, but each nevertheless employing unique geometric methods. The descriptive approach and the manner in which each is linked to the physics of the codes has been shown to both enable and constrain algorithmic exploitation.

The methods for linking geometry to applications codes were described in turn. The BRL-CAD package was used to illustrate each approach. As described in the **APPENDIX**, the strategy utilized in BRL-CAD is based on an inhomogeneous collection of closed-surface geometries, of which the variety of shapes is constantly expanding. By this tack, in addition to using geometry files created with BRL-CAD, three-dimensional geometry files that were developed under other systems can either be mapped into the BRL-CAD data base *exactly* (if the corresponding geometric forms already exist) or the data base can be extended to support any important new forms (so that the conversion becomes an exact mapping as well). By this method the enormous costs of geometry generation can be recovered; any model developed on a true 3-D modeling system can be transferred through file importation to the general and open environment of BRL-CAD.[‡]

Another important point that was emphasized was the possible utility of each particular interface. A related goal, therefore, of BRL-CAD has been to support *all* such interfaces from the extensible data base of geometry types. Many of the forms have been implemented; the NMGs which will provide homogeneous polygon support are in the final stages of development.

By these developments it is our intent to support the AOR community to the maximum extent possible with the tools needed to develop the multi-spectral, multi-sensor simulations critical to autonomous-sensor applications.

APPENDIX

The solid modeling package called BRL-CAD^{9,15} was originally developed to support the input requirements of vulnerability and lethality modeling at the Ballistic Research Laboratory. Its capabilities are briefly summarized here:

- BRL-CAD is composed of more than 200,000 lines of portable C language source code which support:
 - □ Solid geometric editor (mged)
 - Ray tracing utilities
 - □ Lighting models for a variety of frequencies
 - D Many image-handling, data-comparison, image-processing and other supporting utilities
- The set of closed-surface (inhomogeneous) geometrical representations supported by BRL-CAD include:
 - □ The original Constructive Solid Geometry (CSG) BRL data base
 - □ Non-Uniform Rational B-Spline Surfaces (NURBs)
 - □ The faceted data representation (PATCH) developed by Falcon/ Denver Research Institute and used by the Navy and Air Force for vulnerability calculations.
- It supports association of material (and other attribute properties) with geometry which is critical to subsequent applications codes.
- It supports a set of extensible interfaces by means of which geometry (and attribute data) are passed to applications:
 - □ Ray casting
 - □ Topological representation
 - □ 3-D Surface Mesh Generation
 - □ 3-D Volume Mesh Generation
 - □ Analytic (Homogeneous Spline) representation
- Source code for BRL-CAD has been distributed to more than 650 computer sites world wide throughout Government, Industry and Academia.
- In addition to the vulnerability and signature codes generated by the BRL, many BRL-CAD based applications codes have been built by others, including applications developed by workers at TACOM/ Keweenaw Research Center, ERIM, Northrop, MITRE, University of Illinois and scores of other sites.

Figure A1 gives a general layout of the BRL-CAD database representation. A number of fundamental strategies are key here. First, the primary data base is inhomogeneous; that is, many types of geometric representations are allowed so long as they represent fully enclosed space. New shapes are added to the geometric

An important caveat here is that the mathematical form of the geometric representation and data base to be imported must be known. Unfortunately, most commercial CAD vendors are unwilling to provide this information for files generated by their systems, since that might free the user from "vendor lockin".



Figure A1. Organization of BRL-CAD Database Representation.



Figure A2. Current Applications of BRL-CAD.^{1-7,10,15}

data base from time to time and the BRL-CAD package has a number of utilities written expressly to aid that process.

Second, specific interface standards have been adopted at the points where geometry is normally passed to applications (e.g. ray casting, topology, surface mesh). By this strategy changes which take place in the geometric data base itself are isolated from the specific means of geometry linkage. By means of this strategy both (a) an extremely large primary data base of geometry types can be supported in a data-storage efficient fashion and (b) various application codes can link to that data base in the manner most suitable for the application.

As noted above, although the original application of BRL-CAD was in support of vulnerability and lethality analyses, its utility has been extended much beyond those applications.^{1-7,10,15} A graphical depiction of current uses is given in Fig. A2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work has been supported in part by the Joint Technical Coordinating Group-Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG-ME), Smart Munitions Working Group, under grants monitored by Julian A. Chernick, US Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 21005-5066.

REFERENCES

- Paul H. Deitz, Solid Geometric Modeling the Key to Improved Materiel Acquisition from Concept to Deployment, The Proceedings of the XXII Annual Meeting of the Army Operations Research Symposium, 3-5 October 1983, Ft. Lee, VA, pp. 4-243 to 4-269; also Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report BRL-MR-3383, September 1984.
- 2. Paul H. Deitz, Modern Computer-Aided Tools for High-Resolution Weapons System Engineering, Proceedings of the CAD/CAM Mini-Symposium, MTAG 84, 28-29 November 1984, Seattle, WA, pp. 23-42 (Published by US Army Industrial Base Engineering Activity, Rock Island, IL); also Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report BRL-MR-3665, February 1988 (AD-A197855).
- 3. Paul H. Deitz, Computer-Aided Techniques for Survivability/ Lethality Modeling, Proceedings of the ADPA Survivability and Vulnerability Symposium IX, 28-30 October 1986, Naval Surface Weapons Center, White Oak, MD; also Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report BRL-MR-3667, February 1988 (AD-A197893).
- Paul H. Deitz, Predictive Signature Modeling via Solid Geometry at the BRL, Proceedings of the Sixth KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984; also Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report BRL-MR-3664, February 1988 (AD-A197983).
- 5. Paul H. Deitz, *Predicting Signatures of Military Targets*, Signal Magazine, Journal of the Armed Forces Communications and Electronics Association, Vol. 43, No. 5, January 1989, p. 43.
- 6. Joseph C. Faison, The Electromagnetic Code Consortium, IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society Magazine, Vol. 32, No. 1, February 1990, pp. 19-23.
- 7. Michael J. Muuss, Understanding the Preparation and Analysis of Solid Models, Techniques for Computer Graphics, ed. Rogers and Earnshaw, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- 8. MAGI, A Geometric Description Technique Suitable for Computer Analysis of Both Nuclear and Conventional Vulnerability of Armored Military Vehicles, MAGI Report 6701, AD847576, August 1967.
- 9. M. J. Muuss, P. C. Dykstra, K. A. Applin, G. S. Moss, P. R. Stay and C. M. Kennedy, A Solid Modeling System and Ray-Tracing Benchmark Distribution Package, Ballistic Research Laboratory CAD Package, Release 3.0, SECAD/VLD Computing Consortium, 2 October 1988.
- P. H. Deitz, T. M. Muehl, S. L. Henry, G. S. Moss, E. O. Davisson and S. A. Coates, Synthesized CAD Methods for Combat Vehicle Survivability Analysis, Proceedings of the TACOM/ADPA Combat Vehicle Survivability Symposium, 26-29 March 1990, National Institute for Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD; also Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report, In Press.
- 11. Charles L. Arnold, Jr., SRIM User's Manual, United States Army Intelligence and Threat Analysis Center Final Report, September 1987.
- 12. Jorge V. Geaga, Synthetic Aperture Radar Target and Terrain Simulator, NRTC Technical Report 88-4R, December 1985.
- 13. John Pelfer, Georgia Tech Research Institute Radar Cross Section Modeling Software, Modeling and Analysis Division, Georgia Tech Research Institute, October 1986.
- 14. J. W. Crispin, Jr. and A. L. Maffett, Radar Cross-Section Estimation for Complex Shapes, Proceedings of the IEEE, August 1965, pp. 972-982.
- Paul H. Deitz, William H. Mermagen, Jr., and Paul R. Stay, An Integrated Environment for Army, Navy and Air Force Target Description Support, Proceedings of the ADPA Tenth Annual Symposium on Survivability and Vulnerability, 10-12 May 1988, San Diego, CA; also Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report BRL-MR-3754, May 1989.

- 16. Michael J. Muuss, Workstations, Networking, Distributed Graphics and Parallel Processing, Computer Graphics Techniques: Theory and Practice, ed. Rogers and Earnshaw, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- 17. R. F. Harrington, Field Computation by Moment Methods, Krieger, Malabar, FL, 1982.
- 18. Kevin J. Weiler, Edge-based Data Structures for Solid Modeling in Curved-Surface Environments, IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications, Vol. 5, No. 1, January 1985, pp. 21-40.
- Kevin J. Weiler, The Radial Edge Structure: a Topological Representation for Non-Manifold Geometric Modeling, Geometric Modeling for CAD Applications, edited by M. Wozny, H. McLaughlin and J. Encarnacao, Springer Verlag, December 1987.
- 20. Michael J. Muuss and Lee A. Butler, Boundary Representations, Combinatorial Solid Geometry, and n-Manifold Geometry, Advanced Computer Graphics Techniques, ed. Rogers and Earnshaw, Springer-Verlag, In Press.
- H. Gouraud, Continuous Shading of Curved Surfaces, IEEE Transactions on Computers, Vol. C-20, No. 6, June 1971, pp. 623-628.
- 22. Gary Laguna, Recent Advances in 3D Finite Difference Mesh Generation Using the BRL-CAD Package, BRL-CAD Symposium '89, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 24-25 October 1989, pp. 21-35.
- 23. B. E. Morey, S. R. Stewart and K. E. Gunderson, Infrared Image Simulation of Threat Vehicles, The Proceedings of the Test Technology Symposium, The Naval Surface Warfare Center, 18-20 April 1989.
- 24. William R. Reynolds, PRISM User's Manual, Version 2.0, Keweenaw Research Center, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI, October 1989.
- Jack Jones, BRL-CAD To Flat Facet Conversion, Proceedings of the BRL-CAD Symposium '89, supported by the American Defense Preparedness Association, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 24-25 October 1989.
- 26. Susan A. Coates and Edwin O. Davisson, Conversion of a BRL-CAD model to a PRISM Model, Proceedings of the Conference on Ground Target Modeling and Validation, Keweenaw Research Center, Houghton, MI, 21-23 August 1990; also Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report, In Press.
- Gary S. Moss, The 'lgt' Lighting Model, BRL-CAD Symposium '88, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 28-29 June 1988, pg. 11.
- 28. P. Bezier, Mathematical and Practical Possibilities of UNISURF, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- 29. Private communication with Kenneth Brand, Aircraft Division, Northrop Corporation.
- John B. Schneider and Irene C. Peden, Differential Cross Section of a Dielectric Ellipsoid by the T-Matrix Extended Boundary Condition Method, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 36, No. 9, September 1988.
- Irene C. Peden, John B. Schneider and John Brew, Electromagnetic Detection of Buried Dielectric Targets, Proceedings of the 1990 International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, Vol. 1, College Park, MD, May 1990, pp. 15-18.

No of <u>Copies</u> Organization

- 2 Administrator Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
- 1 HQDA (SARD-TR) WASH DC 20310-0001
- 1 Commander U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDRA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Commander U.S. Army Laboratory Command ATTN: AMSLC-DL 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- 2 Commander U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center ATTN: SMCAR-IMI-I Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 2 Commander U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center ATTN: SMCAR-TDC Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 1 Director Benet Weapons Laboratory U.S. Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189-4050
- 1 Commander U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: SMCAR-ESP-L Rock Island, IL 61299-5000
- Director

 Director
 S. Army Aviation Research and Technology Activity
 ATTN: SAVRT-R (Library)
 M/S 219-3
 Ames Research Center
 Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000

No of Copies Organization

- 1 Commander U.S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R (DOC) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5010
- 1 Commander U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-TSL (Technical Library) Warren, MI 48397-5000
- 1 Director U.S. Army TRADOC Analysis Command ATTN: ATRC-WSR White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5502
- (Class. only)1 Commandant U.S. Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD (Security Mgr.) Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660
- (Unclass. only)1 Commandant U.S. Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CSO-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660
 - 1 Air Force Armament Laboratory ATTN: AFATL/DLODL Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000

Aberdeen Proving Ground

- 2 Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-D AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen
- 1 Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: AMSTE-TD
- 3 Cdr, CRDEC, AMCCOM ATTN: SMCCR-RSP-A SMCCR-MU SMCCR-MSI
- 1 Dir, VLAMO ATTN: AMSLC-VL-D
- 10 Dir, BRL ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T

- 10 C.I.A. OIR/DB/Standard GE47 HQ Washington, DC 20505
- 1 HQDA (DAMI-FIT, COL Everson) WASH DC 20310-1001
- 1 HQDA (DAMO-ZD, Mr. Riente) The Pentagon, Rm 3A538 WASH DC 20310-0410
- HQDA (SARD-TN, LTC Fejfar) The Pentagon, Rm 3E360 WASH DC 20310
- HQDA (Limres Study Group, Shirley D. Ford)
 The Pentagon, Room 1B929
 WASH DC 20310
- Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Research, Development, and Acquisition) ATTN: LTG Cianciolo, Military Deputy Washington, DC 20310-0100
- Office of the Secretary of the Army (Research, Development, and Acquisition) ATTN: MG Beltson, Deputy for Systems Management
 Washington, DC 20310-0103
- Deputy Under Secretary of the Army for Operations Research ATTN: SAUS-OR (Hon Walt Hollis) The Pentagon, Room 2E660 Washington, DC 20310-0102
- Office of the Deputy Director of Defense, R&E ATTN: Dr. William Snowden The Pentagon, Room 3D359 Washington, DC 20301

No. of Copies Organization

- 1 Office of the Asst Dep Dir of Defense Live Fire Testing ATTN: COL L. Stanford The Pentagon, Room 3E1060 Washington, DC 20301
- 2 OSD OUSD (A) ODDDRE (T&E/LFT) ATTN: James O'Bryon Albert E. Rainis The Pentagon, Rm 3E1060 Washington, DC 20301-3110
- Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of the Navy ATTN: Fred Crowson Crystal Plaza 5, Room 162 2211 Jefferson Davis Hwy. Arlington, VA 22202
- 9 Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ATTN: Mr. B. Bandy Dr. R. Kahn Dr. C. Kelly Mr. P. Losleben Dr. J. Lupo Mr. F. Patten Dr. Reynolds Mr. S. Squires COL J. Thorpe 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209
- 2 Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD/PERD (Ray Cwiklinski) (Tom Kennedy) Washington, DC 20505
- 1 Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD/IERD (J. Fleisher) Washington, DC 20505
- 1 Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD (Marvin P. Hartzler) Washington, DC 20505
- 2 Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: OIA (Barbara A. Kroggel) (Monica McGuinn) Washington, DC 20505

- 1 Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD (Peter Lew) 1820 N. Fort Myer Drive Arlington, VA 22209
- Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD (Donald Gorson) 1820 N. Fort Myer Drive Arlington, VA 22209
- Chief of Naval Operations OP-03-C2 ATTN: CPT P.X. Rinn Rm 4D537, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20350-2000
- Department of the Navy ATTN: RADM Charles R. McGrail, Jr. Pentagon, Room 4E536 Washington, DC 20350-2000
- 1 Mr. Robert Gomez/OSWR PO Box 1925 Washington, DC 20013
- Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDE-PI (Dan Marks) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- Headquarters
 US Army Materiel Command
 ATTN: AMCDRA (R. Chait)
 5001 Eisenhower Avenue
 Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPD (Darold Griffin) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPD-PM (Jim Sullivan) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001

- 2 Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPM-LOTA (Robert Hall) (MAJ Purdin) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPD-PT (Alan Elkins) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- Commander US Army Laboratory Command ATTN: AMSLC-CT (K. Zastrow) 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- 1 Commander US Army Laboratory Command ATTN: AMSLC-CG 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- Commander US Army Laboratory Command ATTN: AMSLC-LO (LTC P. J. Fardink) 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- Commander US Army Laboratory Command ATTN: SLCLT (LTC Marshall) 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- 2 Commander
 US Army Laboratory Command
 ATTN: AMSLC-TP (J. Predhain) (D. Smith)
 2800 Powder Mill Road
 Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- Commander US Army Laboratory Command ATTN: SLCTO (Marcos Sola) 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145

- Commandant US Army Logistics Management College ATTN: AMXMC-LS-S (CPT(P) Stephen Parker) Ft. Lee, VA 23801
- 1 Commander US Army Materials Technology Laboratory ATTN: SLCMT-ATL Watertown, MA 02172-0001
- Director
 US Army Research Office
 ATTN: SLCRO-MA (Dr. J. Chandra) (Dr. K. Clark) (Dr. Wu)
 P.O. Box 12211
 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211
- Director
 US Army Survivability Management Office
 ATTN: SLCSM-C31 (H. J. Davis)
 2800 Powder Mill Road
 Adelphi, MD 20783
- Director
 US Army Survivability Management Office
 ATTN: SLCSM-D (COL H. Head)
 2800 Powder Mill Road
 Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- 1 Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-CCH-V (Paul H. Gemmill) Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 1 Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-FSS-E (Jack Brooks) Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 1 Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-TD (Jim Killen) Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

No. of Copies Organization

- 1 Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-TDS (Vic Lindner) Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 1 Commander Belvoir Research, Development and Engineering Center ATTN: STRBE-FC (Ash Patil) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606
- Commander Belvoir Research, Development and Engineering Center ATTN: STRBE-JDA (Melvin Goss) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606
- 1 Commander, USACECOM R&D Technical Library ATTN: ASQNC-ELC-I-T, Myer Center Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5000
 - Director Center for Night Vision and Electro-Optics ATTN: AMSEL-RD-NV-V (John Palmer) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5677
 - Director Center for Night Vision and Electro-Optics ATTN: AMSEL-RD-NV-V (John Ho) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5677
 - Director Center for Night Vision and Electro-Optics ATTN: AMSEL-RD-NV-D (Dr. R. Buser) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5677
 - Commander US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center ATTN: AIFR (Bill Rich) 220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

1

1

1

1

- 4 Commander US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center ATTN: AIFRS (T. Walker) (D. Hardin) (R. Wittnebel) (John Aker)
 220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
- 2 Commander
 US Army Foreign Science and Technology
 Center
 ATTN: AIFRS (Gordon Spencer)
 (Dr. Steven Carter)
 220 Seventh Street, NE
 Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
- Commander
 US Army Foreign Science and Technology
 Center
 ATTN: AIFRT (John Kosiewicz)
 220 Seventh Street, NE
 Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
- Commander
 US Army Foreign Science and Technology
 Center
 ATTN: AIFRE (S. Eitelman)
 220 Seventh Street, NE
 Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
- Commander US Army Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: SLCHD-RT (Peter Johnson) 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197
- 1 Commander US Army INSCOM ATTN: IAOPS-SE-M (George Maxfield) Arlington Hall Station Arlington, VA 22212-5000
- 2 Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-GC-T (R. Alongi) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5000

- 1 Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-SS-AT (Ed Vaughn) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5000
- 1 Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD (J. Bradas) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5000
- 1 Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-YTSD (Glenn Allison) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5070
- 1 Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-REX (W. Pittman) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- Director
 US Army Missile and Space Intelligence
 Center
 ATTN: AIMS-RT (Pat Jordan)
 Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- Director
 US Army Missile and Space Intelligence
 Center
 ATTN: AIMS-YLD (Vernon L. Stallcup)
 Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- 2 Director
 US Army Missile and Space Intelligence Center
 ATTN: AIMS-YRS, Thomas Blalock Pete Kirkland
 Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- 2 Director
 US Army Missile and Space Intelligence Center
 ATTN: AIMS-YRT, Francis G. Cline Don A. Slaymaker
 Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500

- Director
 US Army Missile and Space Intelligence Center
 ATTN: Randy L. Smith Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- Commander US Army Natick R&D Center ATTN: STRNC-OI (Stephen A. Freitas) Natick, MA 01760
- Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMCPM-BLK-III/COL Don Derrah Warren, MI 48397-5000
- Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-CK (M. Erickson) Warren, MI 48090
- 1 Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-CK (Newell) Warren, MI 48090
- Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-CR (Mr. Wheelock) Warren, MI 48397-5000
- 1 Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-CV (COL Becking) Warren, MI 48397-5000
- 2 Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-NKS (D. Cyaye) (J. Rowe) Warren, MI 48397-5000
- 2 Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-RG (R. Munt) (R. McClelland) Warren, MI 48397-5000

No. of Copies Organization

1

1

2

1

- 3 Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-RSC (John Bennett) (Wally Mick) Warren, MI 48397-5000
- 1 Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-RSK (Sam Goodman) Warren, MI 48090-5000
 - Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-VS (Brian Bonkosky) Warren, MI 48090-5000
- 6 Commander
 US Army Tank-Automotive Command
 ATTN: AMSTA-ZE (R. Asoklis)
 AMSTA-ZEA (C. Robinson)
 (R. Gonzalez)
 AMSTA-ZS (D. Rees)
 AMSTA-ZSS (J. Thompson)
 (J. Soltez)
 Warren, MI 48397-5000
 - Commander HQ, TRADOC ATTN: Asst Dep Chief of Staff for Combat Operations Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000
 - Director HQ, TRAC RPD ATTN: ATRC-RP (COL Brinkley) ATRC-RPR (Mark W. Murray) Ft. Monroe, VA 23651-5143
 - Director US Army Cold Regions Research and Development Laboratory ATTN: Technical Director (Lewis Link) 72 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03755

- US Army Corps of Engineers Assistant Director Research and Development Directorate ATTN: Mr. B. Benn
 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20314-1000
- Commander US Army Operational Test and Evaluation Agency ATTN: MG Stephenson 4501 Ford Avenue Alexandria, VA 22302-1458
- Commander
 US Army Vulnerability Assessment
 Laboratory
 ATTN: SLCVA-CF (Gil Apodaca)
 White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5513
- Director TRAC-WSMR ATTN: ATRC-RD (McCoy) WSMR, NM 88002-5502
- ² US General Accounting Office Program Evaluation and Methodology Division ATTN: Robert G. Orwin Joseph Sonnefeld Room 5844 441 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20548
- 1 Director Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of the Army, Operations Research Study Program Management Agency ATTN: SFUS-SPM/E. Visco Washington, DC 20310-0102
- 1 Director US Army Industrial Base Engineering Activity ATTN: AMXIB-MT Rock Island, IL 61299-7260

No. of Copies Organization

1

- Director US Army Industrial Base Engineering Activity ATTN: AMXIB-PS (Steve McGlone) Rock Island, IL 61299-7260
- Director
 US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station
 ATTN: WESEN (Dr. V. LaGarde) (Mr. W. Grabau)
 WESEN-C (Mr. David Meeker)
 PO Box 631
 Vicksburg, MS 39180-0631
- 1 US Army Engineer Topographic Laboratories ATTN: Technical Director (W. Boge) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5546
- Commander
 US Army Operational Test and Evaluation
 Agency
 ATTN: LTC Gordon Crupper
 4501 Ford Ave. #870
 Alexandria, VA 22302-1435
- Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories PO Box 808 (L-3321) ATTN: Mark Wilkins Livermore, CA 94551
- Los Alamos National Laboratories ATTN: MS 985, Dean C. Nelson MS F600, Gary Tietgen MS G787, Terrence Phillips PO Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545
- Los Alamos National Laboratories ATTN: MS F681, LTC Michael V. Ziehmn USMC PO Box 1668 Los Alamos, NM 87545
- Sandia National Laboratories Department 913 ATTN: Ron Andreas Albuquerque, NM 87185-5800

- 1 Sandia National Laboratories Division 1611 ATTN: Tom James Albuquerque, NM 87185
- Sandia National Laboratories Division 1623 ATTN: Larry Hostetler Albuquerque, NM 87185
- 1 Sandia National Laboratories ATTN: Gary W. Richter PO Box 969 Livermore, CA 94550
- Commander US Naval Air Systems Command JTCG/AS Central Office ATTN: 5164J (LTC James B. Sebolka) Washington, DC 20361
- Commander ADR Program Manager CODE AIR-41112l ATTN: Tom Furlough Naval Air Systems Command Washington, DC 20361-4110
- Commander US Naval Ocean Systems Center ATTN: Earle G. Schweizer Code 000 San Diego, CA 92151-5000
- 4 Commander US Naval Surface Warfare Center ATTN: Gregory J. Budd James Ellis Barbara J. Harris Constance P. Rollins Code G13 Dahlgren, VA 22448-5000
- Commander US Naval Surface Warfare Center ATTN: Frank Fassnacht 10901 New Hampshire Ave. Code N15 Silver Spring, MD 20903-5000

- 1 Commander US Naval Surface Warfare Center ATTN: Norma D. Holland Code R-14 Silver Spring, Md 20903-5000
- Commander US Naval Surface Warfare Center ATTN: Dr. F.E. Baker
 10901 New Hampshire Ave. Silver Spring, MD 20903-5000
- Commander US Naval Surface Warfare Center ATTN: William Emberson Code H021 10901 New Hampshire Ave. Silver Spring, MD 20903-5000
- Commander US Naval Surface Warfare Center ATTN: M. John Timo 10509 Edgefield Drive Adelphi, MD 20783-1130
- 2 Commander US Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Ed Patterson Dr. Helen Wang Code 3313 Bldg 1400, Room B17 China Lake, CA 93555
- 1 Commander US Naval Weapons Center ATTN: David H. Hall Code 3181 China Lake, CA 93555-6001
- Commander US Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Mark D. Alexander Code 3894 China Lake, CA 93556-6001

- 1 Commander US Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Melvin H. Keith Code 39104 China Lake, CA 93555
- 1 Commander US Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Tim Horton Code 3386 China Lake, CA 93555
- Commander US Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Robert Cox Code 3917 China Lake, CA 93555-6001
- Commander US Naval Civil Eng Laboratories ATTN: John M. Ferritto Code L53 Port Hueneme, CA 93043
- Naval Postgraduate School ATTN: Dr. Robert E. Ball 642 Toyon Drive Monterey, CA 93940
- Naval Postgraduate School ATTN: Dr. Michael J. Zyda Department of Computer Science Code 52 Monterey, CA 93943-5000
- Naval Postgraduate School Department of National Security ATTN: Dr. Joseph Sternberg Code 73 Monterey, CA 93943
- 1 Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: William G. Boyce Code 56Y52 Washington, Dc 20362

- Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: Granville W. Broome SEA 5011 2521 Jefferson Davis Hwy. Arlington, VA 22202
- Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: Philip M. Covich SEA 55X Washington, DC 20362-5101
- 2 Commander
 US Naval Sea Systems Command
 ATTN: CPT Charles Calvano USN
 Robert Keane, Jr.
 SEA 50
 Washington, DC 20362-5101
- Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: Oliver F. Braxton
 2521 Jefferson Davis Hwy. Arlington, VA 22202
- Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: Donald Ewing Code 503 2521 Jefferson Davis Hwy. Arlington, VA 22202
- Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: Larrie D. Ferreiro SEA 501 2521 Jefferson Davis Hwy. Arlington, VA 22202
- Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: Anthony F. Johnson SEA 05R2 Washington, DC 20362-5101

- Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: CPT William E. Mahew USN PMS 423 Washington, DC 20362-5101
- Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: Carl H. Pohler Code 05R23 Washington, DC 20362-5101
- Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: Ronald P. Kramer SEA 50143 2521 Jefferson Davis Hwy. Arlington, VA 22202
- Commander US Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: CPT R. Percival USN SEA 05T 2521 Jefferson Davis Hwy. Arlington, VA 22202
- Commander
 US Space and Naval Warfare Systems
 Command
 ATTN: Paul Wessel
 Code 30T
 Washington, DC 20363-5100
- 1 Commander Intelligence Threat Analysis Center ATTN: PSD-GAS/John Bickle Washington Navy Yard Washington, DC 20374
- Commander Intelligence Threat Analysis Center ATTN: Ron Demeter Washington Navy Yard, B-213, Stop 314 Washington, DC 20374

- Commander Intelligence Threat Analysis Center ATTN: Tim Finnegan Washington Navy Yard, B-213 Washington, DC 20374
- 2 Commander Intelligence Threat Analysis Center Intell Image Prod Div ATTN: John Creighton Al Fuerst Washington Navy Yard, Bldg 213 (IAN-O-II) Washington, DC 20374
- 2 Commander David W. Taylor Naval Ship and Development Center ATTN: W. Conley J. Schot Bethesda, MD 20084
- Commander
 Eglin Air Force Base
 AD/ENL
 ATTN: Robert L. Stovall
 Eglin AFB, FL 32542
- 1 Commander USAF HQ ESD/PLEA Chief, Engineering and Test Division ATTN: Paul T. Courtoglous Hanscom AFB, MA 01730
- 2 Commander AFATL ATTN: AGA (Lawrence Jones) (Mickie Phipps) Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5434
- 1 Commander AFEWC ATTN: AFEWC/SAXE (Bod Eddy) Kelly AFB, TX 78243-5000
- 1 Commander AFWAL/AARA ATTN: Ed Zelano Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

- 1 Commander AFWAL/FIES ATTN: James Hodges Sr. Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6523
- 2 Commander AFWAL/MLTC ATTN: LT Robert Carringer Dave Judson Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6533
- 1 Commander ASB/XRM ATTN: Gerald Bennett Martin Lentz Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
- 1 Commander WRDC/AARA ATTN: Michael L. Bryant Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander FTD/SDMBA ATTN: Charles Darnell Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander FTD/SDMBU ATTN: Kevin Nelson Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander FTD/SQDRA ATTN: Greg Koesters Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6508
- Commander FTD ATTN: Tom Reinhardt Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander FTD/SCRS ATTN: Amy Fox Schalle Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

- 1 Commander FTD/SDJEO ATTN: Robert Schalle Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- Commander FTD/SDAEA ATTN: Joe Sugrue Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander AFWAL/AARA ATTN: Vincent Velten Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- Commander FTD/SQDRA ATTN: Larry E. Wright Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Commander AD/CZL ATTN: James M. Heard Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
- Commander AD/ENY ATTN: Dr. Stewart W. Turner Director of Engineering Analysis Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
- 2 Commander AD/ENYW ATTN: 2LT Michael Ferguson Jim Richardson Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
- 1 Commander Air Force Armament Laboratory ATTN: AFATL/DLY (James B. Flint) Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000

- 4 Commander US Army FSTC ATTN: Greg Crawford David P. Lutz Suzanne Hall Charles Hutson 220 Seventh Avenue Charlosttesville, VA 22901-5396
- Commander US Army FSTC/CA3 ATTN: Scott Mingledorff
 220 Seventh Avenue Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
- Commander US Army FSTC (UK) ATTN: MAJ Nigel Williams 220 Seventh Avenue Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
- 1 Commander US Army FSTC ATTN: Dr. Tim Small 220 Seventh Avenue Charlottsville, VA 22901-5396
- 1 Defense Intelligence Agency ATTN: DB-6E3 (Jay Hagler) Washington, DC 20340-6763
- Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA)
 ATTN: Mr. Irwin A. Kaufman Mr. Arthur O. Kresse
 Mr. Arthur Stein Dr. Lowell Tonnessen Mr. Benjamin W. Turner Ms. Sylvia L. Waller
 1801 N. Beauregard Street
 Alexandria, VA 22311
- Institute for Defense Analyses ATTN: Carl F. Kossack
 1005 Athens Way Sun City, FL 33570

- Institute for Defense Analyses ATTN: Dr. Natarajan Subramonian 14309 Hollyhock Way Burtonsville, MD 20866
- Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology Manufacturing Systems Group ATTN: B. Smith Washington, DC 20234
- AAI Corporation ATTN: H. W. Schuette PO Box 126 Hunt Valley, MD 21030-0126
- ABEX Research Center ATTN: Dr. Michael J. Normandia
 65 Valley Road Mahwah, NJ 07430
- 2 ADPA ATTN: Donna R. Alexander Bill King Two Colonial Place, Suite 400
 2101 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22201-3061
- 1 ARC Professional Services Group ATTN: Arnold R. Gritzke 5501 Backlick Road Springfield, VA 22151
- Adelman Associates ATTN: Herbert S. Weintraub
 291 North Bernardo Avenue Mountain View, CA 94014-5205
- Advanced Marine Enterprises
 ATTN: James F. Hess
 CPT Frederic S. Hering USN (Ret)
 1725 Jefferson Davis Highway
 Suite 1300
 Arlington, VA 22202

- 1 The Armed Forces Communications and Electronics Association ATTN: Kirby Lamar, BG(Ret) 4400 Fair Lakes <u>Sourt</u> Fairfax, VA 22033-3899
- Aero Corporation ATTN: David S. Eccles Gregg Snyder
 P.O. Box 92957, M4/913 Los Angeles, CA 90009
- AFELM, The Rand Corporation ATTN: Library-D 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, CA 90406
- 2 Air Force Wright Aeronautical Labs ATTN: CDJ, CPT Jost CDJ, Joseph Faison Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6523
- Alliant Computer Company ATTN: David Micciche
 Monarch Drive Littleton, MA 01460
- Alliant Techsystems, Inc. ATTN: Hatem Nasr Systems and Research Center 3660 Technology Drive P.O. Box 1361 Minneapolis, MN 55418
- Alliant Techsystems, Inc. ATTN: Fred J. Parduhn 7225 Northland Drive Brooklyn Park, MN 55428
- Alliant Techsystems, Inc. ATTN: Raymond H. Burg Laura C. Dillway MN38-4000
 10400 Yellow Circle Drive Minnetonka, MN 55343

- Alliston Gas Turbine Division of GM ATTN: Michael Swift PO Box 420, SC S22B Indianapolis, IN 46260-0420
- 1 Areminum Company of America ATTN: Frank W. Baker Alcoa Technical Center Alcoa Center, PA 15069
- Analysis and Technology ATTN: RADM Thomas M. Hopkins USN (Ret)
 1113 Carper Street McLean, VA 22101
- 1 ANSER ATTN: James W. McNulty 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22202
- 1 ARC C-500 ATTN: John H. Bucher Modena Road Coatesville, PA 19320
- Armament Systems, Inc. ATTN: Gerard Zeller P.O. Box 158 211 West Bel Air Avenue Aberdeen, MD 21001
- Armored Vehicle Technologies ATTN: Coda M. Edwards PO Box 2057 Warren, MI 48090
- ASI Sytems, International ATTN: Dr. Michael Stamatelatos
 3319 Lone Jack Road Encinitas, CA 92024
- 1 Auburn University Electrical Engineering Department ATTN: Dr. Thomas Shumpert Auburn University, AL 36849

- A.W. Bayer and Associates ATTN: Albert W. Bayer, President Marina City Club 4333 Admiralty Way Marina del Rey, CA 90292-5469
- Battelle Research Laboratory⁻ ATTN: TACTEC Library (J.N. Huggins) 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201-2693
- Battelle Research Laboratory Defense and Space Systems Analysis ATTN: Dr. Richard K. Thatcher 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201-2693
- Battelle Research Laboratory ATTN: Bernard J. Tullington 1300 N. 17th Street, Suite 1520 Arlington, VA 22209
- Battelle
 Edgewood Operations
 ATTN: Roy Golly
 Gene Roecker
 Robert Jameson
 2113 Emmorton Park Road
 Edgewood, MD 21040
- 1 The BDM Corporation ATTN: Edwin J. Dorchak 7915 Jones Branch Drive McLean, VA 22102-3396
- 1 The BDM Corporation ATTN: Fred J. Michel 1300 N. 17th Street Arlington, VA 22209
- Bell Helicopter, Textron ATTN: Jack R. Johnson PO Box 482 Fort Worth, TX 76101

- BMY, Division of Harsco
 ATTN: William J. Wagner, Jr.
 Ronald W. Jenkins
 Ed Magalassi
 PO Box 1512
 York, PA 17404
- Board on Army Science and Technology National Research Council Room MH 280 2101 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20418
- Boeing Aerospace ATTN: Dr. Robert Chiavetta Dr. John Kuras Mail Stop 8K17 P.O. Box 3999 Seattle, WA 98124-2499
- 2 Boeing Corporation ATTN: MS 33-04, Robert Bristow MS 48-88, Wayne Hammond PO Box 3707 Seattle, WA 98124-2207
- Boeing Vertol Company A Division of Boeing Co. ATTN: MS P30-27, John E. Lyons PO Box 16858 Philadelphia, PA 19142
- Booz-Allen and Hamilton, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Richard B. Benjamin Suite 131, 4141 Colonel Glenn Hwy. Dayton, OH 45431
- Booz-Allen and Hamilton, Inc. ATTN: Lee F. Mallett
 1300 N. 17th Street, Suite 1610 Rosslyn, VA 22209
- 2 Booz-Allen and Hamilton, Inc. ATTN: John M. Vice WRDC/FIVS/SURVIAC Bldg 45, Area B Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6553

- John Brown Associates ATTN: Dr. John A. Brown PO Box 145 Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922-0145
- Chamberlain ATTN: Mark A. Sackett PO Box 2545 Waterloo, IA 50704
- 1 Commander Combined Arms Combat Development ATTN: ATZL-CAP (LTC Morrison) Dir, Surv Task Force Ft. Leavenworth, KS 66027-5300
- 1 Commander Combined Arms Combat Development ATTN: ATZL-HFM (Dwain Skelton) Ft. Leavenworth, KS 66027-5300
- 1 Computer Sciences Corporation 200 Sparkman Drive Huntsville, AL 35805
- Computervision Corporation ATTN: A. Bhide
 V. Geisberg
 R. Hillyard
 201 Burlington Road
 Bedford, MA 01730
- Cray Research, Inc. ATTN: William W. Kritlow P.O. Box 151 Huntington Beach, CA 92648-0151
- CRS Sirrine, Inc.
 ATTN: Dr. James C. Smith PO Box 22427
 1177 West Loop South Houston, TX 77227
- 1 CSC ATTN: Abner W. Lee 200 Sparkman Drive Huntsville, AL 35805

- 2 Cypress International ATTN: August J. Caponecchi James Logan
 1201 E. Abingdon Drive Alexandria, VA 22314
- DATA Networks, Inc.
 ATTN: William E. Regan, Jr. President
 288 Greenspring Station Brooklandville, MD 21022
- DNA ATTN: LCDR Charles Nofziger 6801 Telegraph Road Alexandria, VA 22310
- Datatec, Inc.
 ATTN: Donald E. Cudney President
 326 Green Acres Fort Walton, FL 32548
- David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Dr. Fred J. Fisch 2203 Eastlake Road Timonium, MD 21093-5000
- 1 David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Robert E. Fuss UERD, Code 177 Portsmoutn, VA 23709-5000
- David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Seymour N. Goldstein Code 1210 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000
- David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Ib S. Hansen Code 174 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000
- David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Harry Price Gray Code 1740.4 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000

- 1 David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Jackson T. Hawkins Code 1740.2 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000
- 1 David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Steven L. Cohen Code 1230 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000
- 1 David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Dennis Clark Code 0111 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000
- 1 David Taylor Research Center ATTN: John R. Krezel UERD, Code 177.2 Portsmouth, VA 23709-5000
- 1 David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Richard E. Metrey Code 01 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000
- 1 David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Dr. Paul C. St. Hilaire Code 1210 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000
- 1 David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Arthur Marchand Code 2843 Annapolis, MD 21042
- 1 David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Michael Riley UERD, Code 177 Portsmouth, VA 23709-5000
- David Taylor Research Center ATTN: J. William Sykes Code 175 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000
- 1 David Taylor Research Center ATTN: Herbert Wolk Code 1740.1 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000

No. of <u>Copies</u>

- University of Dayton Graduate Engineering and Research Kettering Lab 262 ATTN: Dr. Gary Thiele, Director Dayton, OH 45469
- 1 Defense Nuclear Agency Structural Dynamics Section ATTN: Tom Tsai Washington, DC 20305

Organization

- 1 Delco Systems Operation ATTN: John Steen 6767 Hollister Avenue, #P202 Goleta, CA 93117
- Denver Research Institute Target Vulnerability and Survivability Laboratory ATTN: Lawrence G. Ullyatt PO Box 10127 Denver, CO 80210
- 1 Denver Research Institute University of Denver ATTN: Louis E. Smith University Park Denver, CO 80208
- Dow Chemical, U.S.A ATTN: Dr. P. Richard Stoesser Contract R&D 1801 Building Midland, MI 48674-1801
- 1 Drexel University ATTN: Dr. Pei Chi Chou College of Engineering Philadelphia, PA 19104
- 1 DuPont Company FPD ATTN: Dr. Oswald R. Bergmann B-1246, 1007 Market Street Wilmington, DE 19898

- 1 Dynamics Analysis and Test Associates ATTN: Dr. C. Thomas Savell 2231 Faraday Ave Suite 103 Carlsbad, CA 92008
- 1 E. I. Dupont TED FMC ATTN: Richard O. Myers Jr. Wilmington, DE 19898
- Eichelberger Consulting Company ATTN: Dr. Robert Eichelberger President
 409 West Catherine Street Bel Air, MD 21014
- Electronic Warfare Associates, Inc. ATTN: William V. Chiaramonte 2071 Chain Bridge Road Vienna, VA 22180
- Emprise, Ltd.
 ATTN: Bradshaw Armendt, Jr
 201 Crafton Road
 Bel Air, MD 21014

 8 Environmental Research Institute of Michigan ATTN: Mr. K. Augustyn Mr. Kozma Dr. I. La Haie Mr. R. Horvath Mr. Arnold Mr. E. Cobb Mr. B. Morey Mr. M. Bair PO Box 8618 Ann Arbor, MI 48107

- 1 E-OIR Measurements, Inc. ATTN: Russ Moulton PO Box 3348, College Station Fredericksburg, VA 22402
- ERIM ATTN: Stephen R. Stewart Exploitation Applications Department Image Processing Systems Division PO Box 8618 Ann Arbor, MI 48107-8618

- 1 USA ETL/IAG ATTN: Jim Campbell Bldg 2592, Room S16 Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5546
- 1 FMC Corporation ATTN: Sidney Kraus 1105 Coleman Ave, Box 1201 San Jose, CA 95108
- 3 FMC Corporation ATTN: Ronald S. Beck Martin Lim Jacob F. Yacoub 881 Martin Avenue Santa Clara, CA 95052
- 5 FMC Corporation Advanced Systems Center (ASC) ATTN: Charles A. Millard Scott L. Langlie Herb Theumer Walter L. Davidson J.E. Alexander
 1300 South Second Street PO Box 59043 Minneapolis, MN 55459
- 2 FMC Corporation Defense Systems Group ATTN: Robert Burt Dennis R. Nitschke 1115 Coleman Avenue San Jose, CA 95037
- 1 FMC Corporation Naval Systems Division (NSD) ATTN: MK-45, Randall Ellis Minneapolis, MN 55421
- 1 FMC Corporation Northern Ordnance Division ATTN: M3-11, Barry Brown 4800 East River Road Minneapolis, MN 55421

- 6 FMC Corporation
 Ordnance Engineering Division
 ATTN: H. Croft
 M. Hatcher
 L. House
 J. Jackson
 E. Maddox
 R. Musante
 - San Jose, CA 95108
- 1 GE Aircraft Engines ATTN: Dr. Roger B. Dunn One Neumann Way, MD J185 Cincinnati, OH 45215-6301
- 1 General Atomics ATTN: Chester J. Everline, Staff Engineer P.O. Box 85608 San Diego, CA 92138-5608
- General Dynamics ATTN: Dr. Fred Cleveland P.O. Box 748 Mail Zone 5965 Ft. Worth, TX 76101
- General Dynamics
 ATTN: MZ-4362112, Robert Carter MZ-4362029, Jim Graciano MZ-4362055, Gary Jackman MZ-4362055, Jay A. Lobb
 38500 Mound Sterling Heights, MI 48310
- General Dynamics Corporation ATTN: MZ-2650, Dave Bergman MZ-2860, John Romanko MZ-2844, Cynthia Waters PO Box 748 Ft. Worth, TX 76101-0748
- General Dynamics Land Systems ATTN: Robert Carter PO Box 1804 Warren, MI 48090

- General Dynamics Land Systems ATTN: Dr. Paulus Kersten PO Box 1901 Warren, MI 48090
- 1 General Dynamics Land Systems ATTN: William M. Mrdeza PO Box 2045 Warren, MI 48090
- General Dynamics Land Systems ATTN: Richard Auyer Otto Renius N. S. Sridharan Dean R. Loftin Dr. Phil Lett
 PO Box 2074 Warren, MI 48090-2074
- General Motors Corporation Research Laboratories
 ATTN: J. Boyse J. Joyce R. Sarraga
 Warren, MI 48090
- General Motors Corporation Military Vehicles Operations Combat Vehicle Center ATTN: Dr. John A. MacBain PO Box 420 Mail Code 01 Indianapolis, IN 46206-0420
- 1 Gettysburg College Box 405 Gettysburg, PA 17325
- 1 Grumman Aerospace Corporation Research and Development Center ATTN: Dr. Robert T. Brown, Senior Research Scientist Bethpage, NY 11714
- 1 GTRI-RAIL-MAD ATTN: Mr. Joe Bradley CRB 577 Atlanta, GA 30332

- Hughes Associates ATTN: J. Thomas Hughes 2730 University Blvd. Suite 902 Wheaton, MD 20902
- 2 INEL/EG&G Engineer Lab ATTN: Ray Berry M. Marx Hintze PO Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83451
- Interactive Computer Graphics Center Rensselear Polytechnic Inst. ATTN: M. Wozny Troy, NY 12181
- International Development Corporation ATTN: Trevor O. Jones 18400 Shelburne Road Shaker Heights, OH 44118
- Intergraph National Exploitation Systems ATTN: John H. Suter 2051 Mercator Drive Reston, VA 22091-3413
- 1 ISAT ATTN: Roderick Briggs 1305 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314
- ITT Defense ATTN: Joseph Conway 1000 Wilson Blvd.
 30th Floor Arlington, VA 22209
- Joint Technical Coordinating Group ATTN: Philip Weinberg JTCG/AS5 AIR-516J5 Washington, DC 20361-5160

- California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory ATTN: D. Lewis 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91109
- Kaman Sciences Corporation ATTN: Timothy S. Pendergrass 600 Boulevard South, Suite 208 Huntsville, AL 35802
- Ketron, Inc.
 ATTN: Robert S. Bennett
 901 Dulaney Valley Rd #220
 Baltimore, MD 21204-2600
- Keweenaw Research Center Michigan Technological University ATTN: Bill Reynolds Houghton, MI 49931
- Lanxido Armor Products ATTN: Dr. Robert A. Wolffe Tralee Industrial Park Newark, DE 19711
- 2 Lincoln Laboratory MIT ATTN: Dr. Robert Shin Dr. Chuck Burt P.O. Box 73 Lexington, MA 02173
- Lincoln Laboratory MIT
 Surveillance Systems Group ATTN: R. Barnes
 G. Knittel
 J. Kong
 244 Wood Street
 Lexington, MA 02173-0073
- Lockheed Corporation ATTN: R. C. Smith PO Box 551 Burbank, CA 91520

- Lockheed-California Company ATTN: C. A. Burton R. J. Ricci M. Steinberg Burbank, CA 91520
- Lockheed-Georgia Company ATTN: Ottis F. Teuton J. Tulkoff
 Dept. 72-91, Zone 419
 Marietta, GA 30063
- Lockheed Palo Alto Research Lab ATTN: John A. DeRuntz, JR 0/93, B/251
 3251 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304
- Logistics Management Institute ATTN: Edward D. Simms Jr. 6400 Goldsboro Road Bethesda, MD 20817-5886
- Los Alamos Technical Associates, Inc. ATTN: John S. Daly
 6501 Americas Parkway, #900
 Albuquerque, NM 87110
- Los Alamos Technical Associates, Inc. ATTN: James C. Jacobs Donald M. Lund
 8550 Arlington Boulevard Suite 301 Fairfax, VA 22031
- Los Alamos Technical Associates, Inc. ATTN: Thomas Giacofci
 3020 Hamaker Court Fairfax, VA 22031
- LTV Aerospace and Defense Company ATTN: Daniel M. Reedy PO Box 655907 Dallas, TX 75265-5907

- Martin Marietta Aerospace
 ATTN: MP-113, Dan Dorfman MP-433, Richard S. Dowd MP-243, Thomas C. D'Isepo PO Box 555837 Orlando, FL 32855-5837
- Mathematical Applications Group, Inc. ATTN: M. Cohen R. Goldstein H. Steinberg
 Westchester Plaza Elmsford, NY 10523
- 1 Maxwell Laboratories, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Michael Holland 8888 Balboa Avenue San Diego, CA 92123-1506
- McDonnell Douglas Astronautic ATTN: Nikolai A. Louie
 5301 Bolsa Avenue Huntington Beach, CA 92647
- 1 McDonnell Douglas, Inc. ATTN: David Hamilton PO Box 516 St. Louis, MO 63166
- 1 McDonnell Douglas, Inc. ATTN: Alan R. Parker 3855 Lakewood Blvd., MC 35-18 Long Beach, CA 90846
- 1 Micro Electronics of North Carolina ATTN: Gershon Kedem PO Box 12889 Research Triangle Park, NC 07709
- 1 MIT ATTN: Dr. S. Benton RE15-416 Cambridge, MA 02139

- 5 The MITRE Corporation ATTN: Edward C. Brady, Vice President Dr. Robert Henderson Dr. Nicklas Gramenopoulos Dr. Narayana Srinivasan Norman W. Huddy 7525 Colshire Drive McLean, VA 22102-3184
- 2 NFK Engineering, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Michael P. Pakstys Justin W. Held
 4200 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22203-1800
- 1 NFK Engineering, Inc. ATTN: John J. Turner 1125 Trotting Horse Lane Great Falls, VA 22066
- NASA-Ames Research Center ATTN: Dr. Alex Woo Mail Stop 227-2 Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000
- NASA-Ames Research Center ATTN: Leroy Presley Mail Stop 227-4 Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000
- 1 NAVIR DEVCON ATTN: Frank Wenograd Code 6043 Walminstor, PA 18974
- North Aircraft ATTN: Dr. Athanosis Varvatsis Mail Zone 3622/84
 1 Northrop Ave Hawthorne, CA 90250
- Northrop Corporation Research and Technology Center ATTN: James R. Reis One Research Park Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274

- Norton Company ATTN: Ronald K. Bart
 New Bond Street Worcester, MA 01606-2698
- 1 The Oceanus Company ATTN: RADM Robert H. Gormley, (Ret) PO Box 7069 Menlo Park, CA 94026
- Oklahoma State University College of Engineering, Architecture and Technology ATTN: Thomas M. Browder, Jr. PO Box 1925 Eglin AFB, FL 32542
- Pacific Scientific/Htl Division ATTN: Robert F. Aldrich
 1800 Highland Avenue
 Duarte, CA 91010
- Perceptronics, Inc. ATTN: Dean R. Loftin
 21111 Erwin Street
 Woodland Hills, CA 91367
- 1 Princeton University Mathematics Department Fine Hall Washington Road ATTN: John Tukey Princeton, NJ 08544-1000
- 1 PRI, Inc. ATTN: W. Bushell Building E4435, Second Floor Edgewood Area-APG, MD 21010
- 1 RGB Associates, Inc. ATTN: R. Barakat Box B Wayland, MA 01778

No. of Copies

Organization

- 1 **Rockwell International Corporation** ATTN: Dr. H. Bran Tran P.O. Box 92098 Department 113/GB01 Los Angeles, CA 90009
- **Rockwell International Corporation** 1 ATTN: Keith R. Rathjen, Vice President 3370 Miraloma Avenue (031-HA01) Anaheim, CA 92803-3105
- Rome Air Development Center 1 ATTN: RADC/IRRE, Peter J. Costianes Griffis Air Force Base, NY 13441-5700
- Rome Air Development Center 1 RADC/OCTM ATTN: Edward Starczewski **Building 106** Griffis Air Force Base, NY 13441-5700
- S-Cubed 1 ATTN: Michael S. Lancaster 1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 420 Alexandria, VA 22314
- 1 Sachs/Freeman Associates, Inc. ATTN: Donald W. Lynch Senior Research Physicist 205 Yoakum Parkway, #511 Alexandria, VA 22304
- SAIC 1 ATTN: Dr. Alan J. Toepfer 2109 Air Park Drive, SE Albuquerque, NM 87106
- 1 SAIC ATTN: John H. McNeilly, Senior Scientist 1710 Goodridge Drive McLean, VA 22102

No. of Copies Organization

1

- 2SAIC ATTN: Terry Keller Robert Turner Suite 200 1010 Woodman Drive Dayton, OH 45432
 - SAIC ATTN: David R. Garfinkle Malibu Canyon Business Park 26679 W. Agoura Road, Suite 200 Calabasas, CA 91302
- George Sharp Company 2 ATTN: Dennis M. McCarley Roger O. Mau 2121 Crystal Drive Suite 714 Arlington, VA 22202
- Sidwell-Ross and Associates, Inc. 1 ATTN: LTG Marion C. Ross, (USA Ret) **Executive Vice President** PO Box 88531 Atlanta, GA 30338
- Sigma Research Inc. 1 ATTN: Dr. Richard Bossi 4014 Hampton Way Kent, WA 98032
- Simula, Inc. 1 ATTN: Joseph W. Coltman 10016 South 51st Street Pheonix, AZ 85044
- 1 SimTech ATTN: Dr. Annie V. Saylor 3307 Bob Wallace Ave., Suite 4 Huntsville, AL 35807
- Alan Smolen and Associates, Inc. 1 ATTN: Alan Smolen, President One Cynthia Court Palm Coast, FL 32027-8172

- Southwest Research Institute ATTN: Martin Goland Alex B. Wenzel Patrick H. Zabel
 P.O. Drawer 28255 San Antonio, TX 78238-0255
- Sparta, Inc.
 ATTN: David M. McKinley Robert E. O'Connor Karen M. Rooney
 4901 Corporate Drive Huntsville, AL 35805-6201
- SRI International ATTN: Donald R. Curran 333 Ravenswood Ave. Menlo Park, CA 94025
- Star Laboratory, Stanford University ATTN: Dr. Joseph W. Goodman Electrical Engineering Department 233 Durand Building Stanford, CA 94305-4055
- University of Michigan ATTN: Dr. John F. Vesecky 2212 Space Research Blvd. Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2143
- 1 Princeton University ATTN: Dr. Curt Callen Physics Department PO Box 708 Princeton, NJ 08544
- University of California, San Diego ATTN: Dr. Gordon J. MacDonald Institute on Global Conflict and Cooperation (0518) 9500 Gilman Drive La Jolla, CA 92093-0518

- Structural Dynamics Research Corporation (SDRC)
 ATTN: R. Ard W. McClelland J. Osborn
 2000 Eastman Drive Milford, OH 45150
- 1 Syracuse Research Group ATTN: Dr. Chung-Chi Cha Merrill Lane Syracuse, NY 13210
- System Planning Corporation ATTN: Ann Hafer
 1500 Wilson Blvd Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 S-Cubed ATTN: Robert T. Sedgwick PO Box 1620 La Jolla, CA 92038-1620
- 2 TASC ATTN: Charles E. Clucus Darrell James 970 Mar-Walt Drive Ft. Walton Beach, FL 32548
- 1 TASC ATTN: Harry I. Nimon, Jr 1700 N. Moore Street, Suite 1220 Arlington, VA 22209
- TASC ATTN: COL James Logan (Ret) 1101 Wilson Blvd. Suite 1500 Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 COLSA, Inc. ATTN: Mr. Willy Albanes P.O. Box 1068 Huntsville, AL 35807-3301
- 1 Techmatics, Inc. ATTN: Ronald R. Rickwald 2231 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202-3742

- 1 Technical Solutions, Inc ATTN: John R. Robbins P.O. Box 1148 Mesillia Park, NM 88047
- 1 Teledyne Brown Engineering ATTN: John W. Wolfsberger, Jr. Cummings Research Park 300 Sparkman Drive, NW PO Box 070007 Huntsville, AL 35807-7007
- Tradeways, Ltd.
 ATTN: Joseph G. Gorski, President
 307F Maple Avenue West Vienna, VA 22180
- 1 Ultramet ATTN: Dr. Jacob J. Stiglich 12173 Montague Street Pacoima, CA 91331
- United Technologies Corporation Advanced Systems Division ATTN: Richard J. Holman 10180 Telesis Court San Diego, CA 92121
- University of Idaho Department of Civil Engineering ATTN: Dr. Dennis R. Horn Assistant Professor Moscow, ID 83843-4194
- University of Illinois at Chicago Communications Laboratory ATTN: Dr. Wolfgang-M. Boerner PO Box 4348 M/C 154, 1141-SEO Chicago, IL 60680
- University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Department of Civil Engineering and Environmental Studies ATTN: Dr. E. Downey Brill, Jr. 208 North Romine Urbana, IL 61801-2374

- University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering ATTN: Dr. Shung-Wu Lee 1406 W. Green Urbana, IL 61801
- 1 The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory ATTN: Jonathan Fluss Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20707
- 1 University of Nevada Environmental Research Center ATTN: Dr. Delbert S. Barth Senior Scientist Las Vegas, NV 89154-0001
- University of North Carolina ATTN: Professor Henry Fuchs 208 New West Hall (035A) Chapel Hill, NC 27514
- Ohio State University Electroscience Laboratory ATTN: Dr. Ronald Marhefka Dr. Edward H. Newman Dr. Prasbhaker H. Pathak
 1320 Kinnear Road Columbus, OH 43212
- 1 University of Rochester ATTN: Nicholas George College of Engineering and Applied Science Rochester, NY 14627
- University of Utah
 Computer Science Department
 ATTN: R. Riesenfeld
 E. Cohen
 L. Knapp
 3160 Merrill Engineering Bldg
 Salt Lake City, UT 84112

- University of Washington
 409 Department of Electrical
 Engineering, FT-10
 ATTN: Dr. Irene Peden
 Dr. Akira Ishimaru
 Dr. Chi Ho Chan
 Seattle, WA 98105
- Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Industrial Engineering Operations Research Department ATTN: Robert C. Williges 302 Whittemore Hall Blacksburg, VA 24061-8603
- LTV Aircraft Products Group ATTN: Paul T. Chan, M/S 194-63 PO Box 655907 Dallas, TX 75265-5907
- LTV Missiles and Electronics Group ATTN: Roger W. Melin PO Box 650003 M/S EM-36 Dallas, TX 75265-0003
- Wackenhut Applied Technologies Center ATTN: Robert D. Carpenter 10530 Rosehaven St. Suite 500 Fairfax, VA 22030-2877
- Westinghouse ATTN: Harvey Kloehn Box 1693 MS 8530 Baltimore, MD 21203
- XMCO, Inc.
 460 Spring Park Pl #1500
 Herndon, VA 22070-5215
- Zernow Tech Services, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Louis Zernow
 425 West Bonita, Suite 208 San Dimas, CA 91773

- 2 SURVICE Engineering ATTN: Jim Foulk George Lard 1003 Old Philadelphia Road Aberdeen, MD 21001
- 1 SURVICE Engineering ATTN: Edwin S. Wixson 3200 Carlisle Blvd., NE Suite 120 Albuquerque, NM 87100
- 2 Sverdrup Technology ATTN: Dr. Ralph Calhoun Bud Bruenning PO Box 1935 Eglin AFB, FL 32542
- Georgia Technical Research Institute Systems and Technical Laboratory ATTN: Dr. Charles Watt 1770 Richardsons Road Smyrna, GA 30080
- Georgia Institute of Technology ATTN: Dr. Richard Moore ECSL/EME ERB Building, Room 111 Atlanta, GA 30332
- Georgia Institute of Technology ATTN: Dr. L. G. Callahan, Jr. School of Industrial & Systems Engineering 765 Ferst Drive Atlanta, GA 30332-0385
- Duke University Department of Computer Science, VLSI Raycasting ATTN: Dr. Gershon Kedem 236 North Building Durham, NC 27706
- Virginia Technological Institute Electrical Engineering Department ATTN: Dr. David de Wolf 340 Whittemore Hall Blacksburg, VA 24061

No. of Copies

- Organization
- **UNISYS** Corporation 1 ATTN: Calvin M. Shintani 12010 Sunrise Valley Drive Department 7412 Reston, VA 22091
- 1 Weidlinger Assoicates, Inc. ATTN: Kenneth Stultz 1735 Jefferson Davis Hwy. Suite 1002 Arlington, VA 22202
- Dr. Robert E. Ball. 1 **DA** Consultant 642 Tyon Drive Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 Mr. Michael W. Bernhardt, DA Consultant Rt. 1, 12 Arthur Drive Hockessin, DE 19707
- 1 Mr. H. G. Bowen Jr., **DA** Consultant 408 Crown View Drive Alexandria, VA 22314-4804
- 1 Mr. Harvey E. Cale, **DA** Consultant 2561 Meadowbrook Lane Carson City, NV 89701-5726
- Perkins Coie 1 ATTN: Mr. Robert L. Deitz 1110 Vermont Avenue, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20005
- Dr. Paul F. Carlson 1 DA Consultant 11668 Tanglewood Drive Eden Prairie, MN 55347
- Mr. Donald Gerson 1 ORD 1820 N. Ft. Myer Drive Arlington, VA 22209

- Orr Associates, Inc. 1 ATTN: Dr. Joel N. Orr 5224 Indian River Road Virginia Beach, VA 23464
- 1 Mr. Abraham Golub DA Consultant 203 Yoakum Parkway, Apt 607 Alexandria, VA 22304
- 1 Mr. Dave Hardison ASB Consultant 3807 Bent Branch Road Falls Church, VA 22041
- 1 Mr. Thomas Hafer, **DARPA** Consultant 1500 Wilson Blvd. 14th Floor Arlington, VA 22209
- Mr. William M. Hubbard, 1 ASB Consultant 613 Eastlake Drive Columbia, MO 65203
- Mr. Charles E. Joachim, 1 DA Consultant PO Box 631 Vicksburg, MS 39180
- Dr. Edward R. Jones. 1 **DA** Consultant 9881 Wild Deer Road St. Louis, MO 63124
- MG Robert Kirwan (USA Ret). 1 **DA** Consultant 10213 Grovewood Way Fairfax, VA 22032
- 1
- US Army Field Artillery Board ATTN: Donald J. Krejcarek 4717 NE Macarthur Circle Lawton, OK 73511

- 1 Mr. Robert B. Kurtz, DA Consultant 542 Merwins Lane Fairfield, CT 06430-1920
- Dr. Roy A. Lucht, 1 Group M-B MS-J960 Los Alamos, NM 87545
- Mr. Donald F. Menne, 1 **DA** Consultant 617 Foxcroft Drive Bel Air, MD 21014
- MG Peter G. Olenchuk (USA Ret), 1 BAST Consultant 6801 Baron Road McLean, VA 22101
- Mr. Albert E. Papazoni, 1 DA Consultant 1600 Surrey Hill Drive Austin, TX 78746-7338
- Harry Reed, Sr. 1 Battelle Consultant 138 Edmund Street Aberdeen, MD 21001
- Mr. David L. Rigotti 1 McClean Research Consultant 127 Duncannon Road Bel Air, MD 21014
- Dr. A. E. Schmidlin, 1 **DA** Consultant 28 Highview Road Caldwell, NJ 07006-5502
- 1 Mr. Charles S. Smith, **BAST** Consultant 9 Doaks Lane Marblehead, Massachusetts 01945
- Mr. Arthur Stein, 1 **BAST** Consultant 30 Chapel Woods Court Williamsville, NY 14221-1816

No. of Copies Organization Dr. Dora Strother, ASB Consultant 3616 Landy Lane Ft. Worth, TX 76118 Aberdeen Proving Ground Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-A, W. Clifford J. Meredith AMXSY-C, A. Reid W. Braerman AMXSY-CR, M. Miller AMXSY-CS, P. Beavers C. Cairns D. Frederick AMXSY-G, J. Kramar G. Comstock E. Christman L. Kravitz AMXSY-GA, W. Brooks AMXSY-J, A. LaGrange AMXSY-L, J. McCarthy AMXSY-P, J. Cullum AMXSY-RA, R. Scungio M. Smith Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: AMSTE-CG AMSTE-TA-L. A. Yankolonis

1

N. Harrington S. Grill AMSTE-TC-C, R. Cozby

Dir, USAVLAMO ATTN: AMSLC-VL-CB, Mrs. Young Mr. Gross

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

.

......

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. BRL Repo	rt Number	BKT-WK-398	8	Date of Report	March	n 1991
2. Date Repo	ort Received					
3. Does this for which the	report satisfy a report will be	need? (Commen used.)	it on purpose,	related project,	or other	area of int
4. Specificall of ideas, etc.)	y, how is the	report being used?	(Informatio	n source, design	n data, pr	ocedure, so
•						
			ny quantitativ	e savings as far	as man-t	hours or de
5. Has the ir saved, operating 6. General C changes to org	omments. What	at do you think sh	nould be chang	If so, please of ged to improve	future rep	ports? (Ind
5. Has the ir saved, operating 6. General C changes to org	omments. What	at do you think sh	nould be chang	If so, please of ged to improve	future rep	ports? (Ind
5. Has the ir saved, operating 6. General C changes to or	omments. What is the second se	at do you think sh	ould be chang	If so, please of ged to improve	future rep	ports? (Ind
5. Has the ir saved, operating 6. General C changes to org CURRENT ADDRESS	omments. What a second	at do you think sh nical content, form	ould be chang	If so, please of ged to improve	future rep	ports? (Ind
5. Has the ir saved, operating for the operating changes to orgonic changes to orgonic ch	omments. What an an arrow of the second seco	at do you think sh nical content, forr eation	iould be chang	If so, please of ged to improve	future rep	ports? (Ind

Name		- <u></u>
Organization	 	
A 1 3	 	

City, State, Zip Code

OLD ADDRESS

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, staple or tape closed, and mail.)

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 2100-5066 OFFICIAL BUSINESS

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL FIRST CLASS PERMIT No 0001, APG, MD

NO POSTAGE

NECESSARY

F MALED

IN THE

UNITED STATES

------FOLD HERE------

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-9989

-----FOLD HERE-----