

MEMORANDUM REPORT BRL-MR-3664



1938 - Serving the Army for Fifty Years - 1988

PREDICTIVE SIGNATURE MODELING VIA SOLID GEOMETRY AT THE BRL

PAUL H. DEITZ

FEBRUARY 1988

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

U.S. ARMY LABORATORY COMMAND

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

DESTRUCTION NOTICE

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. DO NOT return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product. UNCLASSIFIED

ŝ

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE Come Approved Owe 0.0240188 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 1b. RESTRICTVE MARKINGS 12a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 3. DISTRBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 3. DISTRBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT 2b. DESCLASSIFICATION ICONVIGADING SCIEDULE 4. PRINFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 3RL-MR-3664 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) SRL-MR-3664 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) SRL-MR-3664 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) SRL-MR-3664 5. OFFICE SYMBOL ("Applicable) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION ("Applicable) SRL-MR-3664 5b. OFFICE SYMBOL ("Applicable) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION ("Applicable) 5b. OFFICE SYMBOL ("Applicable) Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/JPONEDSING ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL ("Applicable) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION NUMBER Ba. ADDRESS (CHy, State, and ZP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Yeack WORK UNIT (ACCESSION NO. Ba. ADDRESS (CHY, State, and ZP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Yeack WORK UNIT (Yeach REPORT TO 13. DISTRBUTION/AVAILABLER Yeack COUNT Yeack COUNT The deferort <td< th=""><th colspan="5">SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE</th></td<>	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE					
10. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS 10. REASTRICTIVE MARKINGS 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS 2b. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 2) DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT 2b. RELASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 2) DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT 2b. RELASSIFICATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION BRL-MR-3664 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION 6c. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL 2b. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 8. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) 8c. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER PROGRAM 8c. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM NO. CODENTIFICATION NUMBERS 9. PROCURE OF FUNDING NUMBERS 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT 11. TITLE (Inducé Security Classification) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT 11. TITLE (Inducé Security Classification) 11. TITLE (Inducé Security Cassification) 11. TITLE (Inducé Security Classification) Princit 13b. TIME COVERED Final 11. B. SUBECT TERMS (Continue on revere If Accesary and identify by block number) </td <td>REPORT</td> <td colspan="3">IN PAGE Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188</td> <td>Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188</td>	REPORT	IN PAGE Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
INCLASSIFIED 3. OSTRUUTION/AVALABUTY OF REPORT 28. SECURY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 3. OSTRUUTION/AVALABUTY OF REPORT NUMBER(S) 28. DECLASSIFICATION FOOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 28. ADDRESS (CM), State, and ZMP Code) 5. OFFICE SYMBOL (M* applicable) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION NUMBER(S) 28. ADDRESS (CM), State, and ZMP Code) 5. DORESS (CM), State, and ZMP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (CM), State, and ZMP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (M* applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Re. ADDRESS (CM), State, and ZMP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT REGGRAM Re. ADDRESS (CM), State, and ZMP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT REGGRAM Re. ADDRESS (CM), State, and ZMP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT REGGRAM RE. DADRESS (CM), State, and ZMP Code) 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT NO. 11. TITLE (Indude Security Classification) Texas REGOLET FROME 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT NO. 12. PRESONAL AUTONIST 13b. TIME COVERED FROM	1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
22. SECURTY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT 23. DECLASSIFICATION JOING SCHEDULE 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. MADE OF FERFORMING ORGANIZATION 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (f' applicable) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION 6. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (f' applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (f' applicable) 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING TONNORING ORGANIZATION 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (f' applicable) 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS (FROGRAM INTO COLOR 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS (FROGRAM INTO COLOR 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FROG 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FROGRAM 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FROM 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 14. SUBJECT TEMMS (Continue on revere if necestary and identify by block number) 16. TEM	UNCLASSIFIED					
22. DECASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. NAME OF FERFORMING ORGANIZATION (b) OFICE SYMBOL (I' applicable) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory (c) State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK: UNIT ROGGRAM 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK: UNIT NO. 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK: UNIT NO. 7c. TITLE (include Security Classification) PROCUREMENT IND. NO. ACCESSION NO. 7c. TITLE (include Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL TITLEME 7c. ESUPPERMENTARY MOTATION Predictive Signature Modeling 13b. UPEC OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 7c. COSATI CODES 13b. UBECT TEME (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) TITTE Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 7ra Laborst two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability	2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION	3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) BRL-MER-3664 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) BRL-MER-3664 5. NAME OF REPORTING ORGANIZATION US Army Ballistic Research EA DDRESS (Gry, State, and ZP Code) 6b. OFICS SYMBOL (If Applicable) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION (If Applicable) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 8b. OFICE SYMBOL (If Applicable) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) 8b. OFICE SYMBOL (If Applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) 8b. OFICE SYMBOL (If Applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM TASK NO. WORK UNIT NO. 11. TITLE (Include Security Cassification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL TASK NO. WORK UNIT NO. 11. TITLE (Include Security Cassification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL TASK NO. PROGRAM 11. TITLE (Include Security Cassification) Presented as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. TIT cask Signatures, Houghton, Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. TIT cask Not development Graphics Editor Infrared Inages Finite Element Analysis Simulation Tit Asst four years, the Laboratory Patrinal Bit Signature Code bit RK Lhas	26. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHED	ULE				
BL-MK-3664 Be NAME OF REFORMING ORGANIZATION Be NAME OF REFORMING ORGANIZATION Baboratory StDBESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 Ba. MAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER ORGANIZATION Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Bc. ADDRE	4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUME	ER(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NU	JMBER(S)
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION (M sphicks) fb. OFFICE SYMBOL (M sphicks) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION (M sphicks) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. TTTL (module Security Classification) 7c. Code 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. TTTL (module Security Classification) 7c. Code 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. TTTL (module Security Classification) 7c. Code 7c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7c. Fistor Port (Classification) 7c. ADDRESS (City, State	BRL-MR-3664					
US Army Ballistic Research (# applicable) SLCBR-VL-V Sc ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 Ba. MAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION BL. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Bc. City Bc. City	6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORGA	NIZATION	
Laboratory SLCBR-VL-V Sc ADDRESS (Gry, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (Gry, State, and ZIP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 7b. ADDRESS (Gry, State, and ZIP Code) Ba. NAME OF FUNDING /SPONSORING ab. OFFICE SYMBOL (f applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER RC ADDRESS (Gry, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS MOOR UNIT RC ADDRESS (Gry, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS MOOR UNIT PROGEAM ELEMENT No. PROJECT NO. TASK NO. MOOR UNIT 11. TITLE (Indude Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL 11. TITLE (Indude Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL 12. PROFERENTIARY MOTATOM FROM	US Army Ballistic Research	(If applicable)				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Ba. NAME OF FUNDING /SPONSORING ORGANIZATION 8b. Office SYMBOL (If applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Project View Comparison of the Comp	Laboratory	SLCBR-VL-V				
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 Ba. NAME OF FUNDING /SPONSORING ONGAMAZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 3. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. Bc. ADDRESS (Gry, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. NO. 11. TITLE (include Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL NO. 12. PERSONAL ANTHOR(S) Deitz, Paul H. 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED Fragment as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. 17. COSATI CODES Terain Codes 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Graphics Editor 17. COSATI CODES Terain Finite Element Analysis Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 13. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and 12 couple geometry and 12 couple geometry and 12 couple geometry and 2) couple geometry and 2) couple geometry and 2) couple geometry and 2) couple geometry and 12 couple geometry and 12 couple geometry and 2) couple geome	6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (Cit	ty, State, and ZIP	Code)	
Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Be. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS NO. 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS NO. ACCESSION NO. 11. TITLE (include Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL 12. PROM 13. TYPE OF REPORT NO. NO. ACCESSION NO. 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13. TIME COVERED Final TO 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 14. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTATION Presented as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Fartan 17. COSATI COODES Tinfate Liment Analysis Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis c	Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21	005-5066				
8C. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROJECT TASK WORK UNIT 11. TITLE (include Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL Include Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL Include Security Classification) 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Deitz, Paul H. 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED Final FROM TO 14. DATE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED Fresented as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. Infirated Images 17. COSATI CODES 11. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Tinite Element Analysis Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 19. 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Tinite Element Analysis Status You contrave on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in numerability on pr	8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT ID	ENTIFICAT	ION NUMBER
ac. ADDRESS(LIP, State, and ZP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING KUMBERS PROGRAM PROGRAM PROGRAM Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL 11. TITLE (Indude Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL 12. PRESONAL AUTHOR(S) Deitz, Paul H. 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION TO		L				
PROGRAM NO. PROJECT TAX. WACKESSION NO. 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL Image: Solid Comments of Solid Com	8C. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF P	UNDING NUMBER	S TACK	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL 12. PRSONAL AUTHOR(S) Deitz. Paul H. 13. TYPE OF REPORT 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. SUPELEMENTARY NOTATION Presented as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Paralmost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geo			ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(5) Deitz, Paul H. 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final TPresented as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP 12. OS 13. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Craphics Editor Triter Element Analysis Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Finite Element Analysis Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) This sequentry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid geometrie models and supporting codes will be discussed and illustrated. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DITC USERS 21. ADSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 220. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DITC USERS 21.						
Predictive Signature Modeling Via Solid Geometry at the BRL 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Deitz, Paul H. 13. TYPE OF REPORT Final 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Presented as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Presented as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Field GROUP 12. 0.5 13. Simulation Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 13. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid geometric models and supporting codes will be discussed and illustrated. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABLILITY OF ABSTRACT	11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)				<u> </u>	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Deitz, Paul H. 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED Final TO	Predictive Signature Modeling W	ia Solid Geometr	y at the BRL			
Deitz. Paul H. 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 13b. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Presented as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. 17. COSATI CODES 17. COSATI CODES 12 05 12 05 13b. BUBLECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Field GROUP 13b. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in wulnerablity and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid	12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Presented as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. 16. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 12 05 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Finite Element Analysis 5 Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Finite Element Analysis For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid geometric modeling to include examples of color-shaded renderings of weapons systems currently under analy	Deitz, Paul H.					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Presented as a paper at the Sixth Annual KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures, Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984. 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP 12 05 12 05 13. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Finite Element Analysis Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid geometric modeling to include examples of color -shaded renderings of weapons systems currently under analysis. In addition, new results of signature models and supporting codes will be discussed and illustrated. 20. DISTRI	13a. TYPE OF REPORT13b. TIME (FinalFROM	COVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month,	Day) 15	PAGE COUNT
17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Graphics Editor Infrared Images 12 05 Simulation Synthetic Element Analysis 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Finite Element Analysis 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid geometric modeling to include examples of color-shaded renderings of weapons systems currently under analysis. In addition, new results of signature models and supporting codes will be discussed and illustrated. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. DTIC USERS 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL <tr< td=""><td>16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Presented as a paper at the Six Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984</td><th>th Annual KRC Sy.</th><td>mposium on G</td><td>round Vehic</td><td>le Sigı</td><td>natures,</td></tr<>	16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Presented as a paper at the Six Houghton, MI, 21-22 August 1984	th Annual KRC Sy.	mposium on G	round Vehic	le Sigı	natures,
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Graphics Editor Infrared Images 12 05 Patran Finite Element Analysis 12 05 Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid geometric modeling to include examples of color-shaded renderings of weapons systems currently under analysis. In addition, new results of signature models and supporting codes will be discussed and illustrated. 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. DTIC USERS 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL	17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revers	e if necessary and	identify	by block number)
12 05 Patran Finite Element Analysis Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid geometric modeling to include examples of color-shaded renderings of weapons systems currently under analysis. In addition, new results of signature models and supporting codes will be discussed and illustrated. UNCLASSIFIED 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Paul H. Deitz 22. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE DD Form 1473, JUN 86 Previous editions are obsolete. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Graphics Edito	r Infrar	ed Images		-
12 Simulation Synthetic Aperture Radar 13. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid geometric modeling to include examples of color-shaded renderings of weapons systems currently under analysis. In addition, new results of signature models and supporting codes will be discussed and illustrated. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 228. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE (include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL 210. Previous editions are obsolete. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 210. ASSIFIED INICLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	12 05	Patran	Finite	e Element An	alysis	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid geometric modeling to include examples of color-shaded renderings of weapons systems currently under analysis. In addition, new results of signature models and supporting codes will be discussed and illustrated. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 220. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		Simulation	Synthe	tic Apertur	e Rada	r
DD Form 1473, JUN 86 Previous editions are obsolete. <u>SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE</u>	For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past four years, the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1) improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2) couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of a predictive signature capability. This report reviews current progress in solid geometric modeling to include examples of color-shaded renderings of weapons systems currently under analysis. In addition, new results of signature models and supporting codes will be discussed and illustrated. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Paul H. Deitz 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22b. TELEPHONE (include Area Code) 301-278-6644					
	DD Form 1473, JUN 86	Previous editions are	obsolete.		CLASSIFIC	ATION OF THIS PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author grately recognizes the support of K. A. Applin in the production of M109 and M2 images, G. S. Moss for the bistatic-optical and dihedral images, and E. O. Davisson for the production of the SAR data.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	.vii
I.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
, II.	GENERATION OF GEOMETRY	. 2
III.	GEOMETRIC INTERFACES	. 4
IV.	SIGNATURE APPLICATIONS	. 9
	A. IR Imagery	. 9
	B. Bistatic Lighting	. 10
	C. Vehicle Topology	. 13
	D. SAR Imagery	. 15
V.	SUMMARY	. 18
	REFERENCES	. 19
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	. 21

•

۲

.

÷

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

.

6

.

٠

Figure	e	Page
1	Color-shaded Image of the M109 Howitzer	. 3
2	Color-shaded Image of M2 Bradley Fighting Vehicle	. 3
3	Four Views of a Sherman Tank Constructed Using Discrete Bicubic Splines	. 4
4	Geometric Data is Processed Through an Interace Where it is Combined With Other (Nongeometric) Information for Use in an Applications Model	. 5
5	A Horizontal Slice of High-Density Ray Information (1-inch Between Rays)	6
6	GED Files are Converted Through an Interface Program, GEDPAT, Into a PATRAN-G Format	7
7	An Example of Three Simple Primitives (two cylinders and a box) Which Were Passed to PATRAN-G from GED	8
8	A Three-Dimensional Mesh Generated by PATRAN-G Calculated From the Three Primitive Shapes Illustrated in Figure 7	8
9	Infrared (IR) Image (Lower) and Standard Optical Image (Upper) of T62 Vehicle	10
10	Color-shaded Image of an M48 Tank	11
11	Four Bistatic Optical Images Similar to the Image of Figure 10	.12
12	Four Views of an LVTP7 Vehicle With a Ground Plane	.13
13	The Surface Topology of an M48 Tank Has Been Processed in Order to Find Adjacent Orthogonal (i.e., dihedral) Elements	.14
14	Two High-Density Images of an M48 Tank Which Illustrate the Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) Process	.16
15	High-resolution SAR Images of an M48 Vehicle	.17
16	High-resolution SAR Images of an M48 Showing the Effect of Radar- suppression Material	.18

I. INTRODUCTION

The Ballistic Research Laboratory is the Army's lead laboratory for the assessment of vulnerability of tactical systems to conventional weapons. For more than thirty years, system assessments of survivability have been made for bullet, high-energy laser, and neutron transport threats.

At the outset, it was realized that geometry is pervasive in these assessments. Some 18 years ago the BRL contracted for support in generating the first techniques capable of automating the interrogation of system geometry. For almost two decades the BRL has generated solid geometric models for use in vulnerability and neutron transport calculations. In the past few years the Laboratory has embarked on a two-phase program to 1] improve its ability to generate, display and modify geometry and 2] couple geometry and related attribute data to a diverse set of analysis codes. Objective 1] has been substantially impacted by the development of an interactive graphics editor called GED (Graphics Editor) and described in detail previously. As the ability to generate and modify geometry has improved, new avenues of applications have opened. Another paper has described some of the applications of solid geometric modeling. At the Fifth KRC Symposium, the initial efforts in support of signature calculations with solid geometry were reported.

Over the past year substantial attention has been given to the development of predictive signature capabilities. This report reviews current progress in solid geometric modeling to include examples of color-shaded renderings of weapons systems currently under analysis. In addition, the results of some signature models will be discussed and illustrated.

¹P. H. Deitz, "Solid Geometric Modeling at the US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory," <u>Proceedings of the Third Annual Conference and Exposition of the</u> <u>National Computer Graphics Association, Inc.</u>, held 13-16 June, 1982, Vol. II, pp.

²P. H. Deitz, "Solid Geometric Modeling - the Key to Improved Materiel Acquisition from Concept to Deployment," in the <u>Proceedings of the XXII</u> <u>Annual Meeting of the Army Operations Research Symposium</u>, 3-5 October 1983, Ft. Lee, VA, pp. 4-243 to 4-269. Also in the <u>Proceedings of Defense</u> <u>Computer-Graphics International Conference and Exposition</u>, <u>Washington</u>, <u>DC</u>, 10-14 October 1983.

³P.H. Deitz, "Predictive Vehicle Signatures Through Solid Modeling," <u>Proceedings of the Fifth KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures</u>, August 23-24 1983, Houghton, MI., p. 107.

II. GENERATION OF GEOMETRY

Methods of structuring geometry have been described previously.² They include:

- A. Constructive Solid Modeling
- B. Boundary File Representation
 - 1. Explicit
 - 2. Implicit

In approach A., various geometric shapes are combined with logic operations and attribute definitions. In approach B.l., the surfaces of objects are explicitly modeled as flat, polygonal elements. In approach B.2., the surfaces of objects are implicitly modeled through mathematical representations. Surface information is computed to various levels of approximation depending on the application. Changes in the surface topology are accomplished by changing the underlying mathematical representation.

The BRL uses the constructive solid modeling method. As noted above, this process has been greatly enhanced through the use of an interactive editor, GED.

Figures 1 and 2 illustrate some current examples of GED modeling. These images have been calculated using a high-density ray casting program and rendered using a framebuffer display. Internal views are generated by directing the ray casting program to ignore particular classes of components (in this case, exterior armor).

⁴M. J. Muuss, K. A. Applin, J. R. Suckling, C. A. Stanley, G. S. Moss and E. P. Weaver, "GED; An Interactive Solid Modeling System for Vulnerability Assessments," BRL Technical Report, ARBRL-TR-02480, March 1983 (UNCLASSIFIED).



Figure 1. Color-shaded image of the M109 Howitzer. On the left is image derived from first-surface intersection of rays; on right, interior detail.



Figure 2. Color-shaded image of M2 Bradley Fighting Vehicle. On left is exterior geometry; on right, interior detail.

Note that no shadows are generated in these images. The rendering technique used here computes a <u>single</u> set of rays which is directed from the viewer's vantage point to the mathematical description. The first surface intersection by each ray is calculated, including its location in space, its surface normal, and object identification. All of that information is used to calculate the intensity and coloring of the image pixels. A more advanced lighting model, capable of computing shadows, will be described in Section IV.

Figure 3 shows a composite image of a tank which has been modeled using an Implicit Boundary Representation scheme (method B.2.). The surface of the tank has been described using discrete bicubic splines. The analytic smoothness of this technique together with the ability to introduce high geometric fidelity make it particularly attractive as a basis for signature modeling.



Figure 3. Four views of a Sherman tank constructed using discrete, bicubic splines. Object assembled using a geometric modeling system called Alpha_1. (Courtesy of the University of Utah.)

III. GEOMETRIC INTERFACES

Although solid geometry is often the point-of-departure for highresolution, weapons-system analyses, the predictive performance models (including signature applications) normally do not utilize the geometry directly. Rather, the geometric information, which is coupled to specific attribute data (density, hardness, specific heat, emissivity, etc.), is passed first through a processing program. This process is illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Geometric data is processed through an interface where it is combined with other (nongeometric) information for use in an applications model.

There are at least four ways in which geometry is passed to predictive models. They are:

- 1) Ray casting
- 2) 3-D Surface Mesh Generation
- 3) 3-D Volume Mesh Generation
- 4) Analytic Representation.

In method 1), geometric rays are intersected with the geometry file to find points of intersections and angles of orientation. Ray casting was used to calculate the images shown in Figures 1 and 2. It was also used to compute a slice through an M48 target description illustrated in Figure 5. These rays are calculated for one-inch intervals across a horizontal plane, 15 inches below the turret ring. The various colors indicate different system components.



Figure 5. A horizontal slice of high-density ray information (linch between rays). Slice is taken 13 inches below the turret ring on an M48 tank. Various colors indicate different system components (e.g., white is armor and suspension, yellow is ammunition, red is personnel, etc.).

An entirely different form of geometric characterization is described by 3-D surface and volume mesh data. By these methods, geometry is posed as a series of lines connected at nodes over the surface of an object (Method 2) or throughout the volume of an object (Method 3). Meshes are used as the basis for many mechanical and heat-flow analyses.

In order to exploit analysis methods which require mesh generation, a commercial finite-element mesh pre- and post-processing program called PATRAN-G has been purchased. Although PATRAN has its own geometric modeler, in order to avoid the high-overhead task of regenerating existing geometry in yet another format, a translation program has been written to transfer GED files into the PATRAN format. Figure 6 illustrates those interfaces. GED

^{*}PATRAN-G is a product of PDA Engineering, Santa Ana, CA.

Private Communication with G. S. Moss.

files are passed through a translator (GEDPAT). In effect, each geometric shape (or primitive) is reformatted by GEDPAT and then passed to the PATRAN code itself.



Figure 6. GED files are converted through an interface program, GEDPAT, into a PATRAN-G format. Once posed in the form of PATRAN geometry, objects can be converted into 3-D surface <u>or</u> volume meshes. These results can then be passed to ADINA or NASTRAN structural analysis programs for processing and then back for interpretation.

Figure 7 shows a simple construction consisting of a cube intersected by two cylinders. For this object, the cylinders are subtracted from the block. Figure 8 shows the result of the subtractive operation followed by the volume mesh generation. The mesh density is user selected for the application and computer resources. The mesh is then ready for processing by such codes as NASTRAN or ADINA. PATRAN-G is also used to interpret the results of the calculations.



Figure 7. An example of three simple primitives (two cylinders and a box) which were passed to PATRAN-G from GED.



Figure 8. A three-dimensional mesh generated by PATRAN-G calculated from the three primitive shapes illustrated in Figure 7.

Although analytic representation is not used in most computer-aided schemes, its use will probably grow. In this approach, signature properties, for example, are computed using closed-form solutions and analytic geometry. A solution is computed over an entire geometric representation instead of piecewise approximations. This approach is similar to the classic electromagnetic calculations for free-field scattering from discs and spheres. With the rise of computer-based geometry, solutions to scattering problems have reverted to massive ray casting methods to derive necessary data. However, with the development of geometric methods based on implicit boundary file representations and analytic mathematical forms, there may be an opportunity to develop a new approach to signature modeling.

IV. SIGNATURE APPLICATIONS

A. IR Imagery

In the design of smart munitions it is important to know the nature of vehicle signatures over a range of detection bands and a variety of signalprocessing schemes. To estimate the infrared performance of a smart system called STAFF, a series of measurements in the 8-12 micron band were made for a Soviet T62 tank under various operating conditions. For each set of conditions, a complete thermal signature was gathered over the vehicle surface. The measured temperatures were then associated with the corresponding exterior regions of the GED description. Such an approach, although not predictive, ensures that the effect of sensor aspect angle is accurately accounted for in the subsequent simulation.

Figure 9 shows a (GED-generated) color-shaded image of a T62 Soviet tank together with a thermogram of the tank from the same aspect angle (90,45). The false-color image illustrates the signal strength in the 8-12 micron band; this data was taken during clear night-time operating conditions, and the color scale represents a range of about 18 to 35 Degrees C. Although this is not an example of predictive modeling, the solid model serves an invaluable role in achieving proper image perspective in the sensor simulation.

⁵J. R. Rapp, "A Computer Model for Estimating Infrared Sensor Response to Target and Background Thermal Emission Signatures," BRL Memorandum Report ARBRL-MR-03292, August 1983.



Figure 9. Infrared (IR) image (lower) and standard optical image (upper) of T62 vehicle. Measured target temperatures were used with BRL solid model to derive thermal image.

Actual predictive IR modeling is possible based on solid modeling but it is considerably more difficult. To make detailed predictions it is necessary to calculate a complete heat budget throughout the vehicle accounting for all sources and sinks of heat among all components including the rates of heat flow as well. Generally thermal models are developed around a Finite Element Mesh (FEM) structure. Heat flow is calculated from node to node with mesh links characterized by coupling coefficients. Clearly solid geometric models represent a critical element that must be exploited to achieve a true predictive IR modeling capability.

B. Bistatic Lighting

In the color-shaded pictures shown in Figures 1 and 2, a single highdensity ray file was calculated for each image. By that process the effective light source is located at the viewers eye. As such, no shadows are generated.

In order to see the effect of optical sources not co-located at the viewers eye, it is necessary to enhance the ray casting process with a secondary calculation. As each ray hits the first surface of vehicle geometry, a secondary ray is sent to the light source. If intervening geometry is

Private communication with G. S. Moss and G. G. Kuehl.

encountered, then that particular vehicle element (pixel) is in a shadow. The depth of shadowing can be modified by modulating the illumination level for all pixels to set effectively a background lighting level.

In addition, because all of the geometry associated with incident and reflected rays is known, the proportion of specular and diffuse scattering can be accurately simulated. By the choice of those characteristics, the effective surface roughness of the target is simulated.

Figure 10 shows an image of an M48 tank calculated by the bistatic lighting model. Shadows of the gun barrel and turret are clearly evident. In this particular image, a range-dependent intensity factor has been introduced so that portions of the vehicle closer to the observer appear brighter and <u>vice</u> <u>versa</u>.



Figure 10. Color-shaded image of an M48 tank. A bistatic lighting model is used (illumination and viewing aspect angles are different) to compute the shadows. Surface reflection properties can be simulated by controlling the relative amounts of spear and diffuse contributions.

An important application of this bistatic lighting model is shown in Figure 11. Here an M48 target model has been rendered for four lighting conditions. In the upper left, an optical source uniformly illuminates the target at an angle 60 degrees to the left of the viewer's line of site. In order to make the specular versus diffuse scattering clearly evident, those portions of the vehicle exhibiting specular scattering are rendered in yellow. Diffuse scattering is shown in blue.



Figure 11. Four bistatic optical images similar to the image of Figure 10. Source illumination angles of -60, -20, 20, and 60 (relative to the viewing plane) are illustrated. Portions of the images exhibiting specular scattering are shown in yellow. Diffuse scattering is shown in blue.

The image in the lower left corner shows the result for a source illumination 20 degrees to the left of the viewer. The lower-right and upper-right images correspond to 20 and 60 degrees to the right, respectively. As noted above, the surface roughness properties can be easily simulated through the choice of the specular and diffuse scattering functions. Such a capability lends itself to a number of imaging applications included semi-active guidance analyses.

A final application of bistatic lighting is shown in Figure 12. Here an LVTP7 (a Marine landing craft) is shown from a high elevation for four different angles of solar illumination. A ground plane has been placed beneath the vehicle so that ground shadows can be calculated. Such predictive imaging might be used to calculated the performance of a top-attack optical sensor which uses edge-discrimination techniques.



Figure 12. Four views of an LVTP7 Vehicle with a ground plane. Each view simulates a particular sun illumination angle that a top attack optical sensor might see.

C. <u>Vehicle</u> <u>Topology</u>

For certain classes of target signatures the mathematical characteristics of a vehicle shape play a key role. An obvious example involves the scatter of radio waves. It is well established that dihedral and trihedral metal elements act as particularly efficient reflectors for radar. A millimeter wave (MMW) signature model has been developed at the BRL⁶ which models ground vehicle geometry as a collection of dihedral elements of various sizes and orientations. Although such a collection of elements can be generated by hand, a processing scheme has been developed which uses GED files as input to compute orthogonal surface elements.

⁶J. Lacetera, "Deterministic Modeling of Tank Targets for MMW Radar Systems", elsewhere in the Proceedings.

Private Communication with G. S. Moss.

Figure 13 illustrates this process for an M48 tank. First a viewing orientation is chosen and ray intersections are calculated at the vehicle first surface. Next the surface normal is calculated for every ray intersection. Then a search algorithm is applied so that effectively every surface normal is compared with its neighbors to check for orthogonality. Such a process yields three classes of orthogonal relations: concave, convex, and disjoint. Concave elements are formed of those target pixels which are orthogonal to each other and open towards the viewer. The convex elements are open away from the viewer. Disjoint elements are orthogonal to each other, are adjacent to each other when projected into screen space, but are actually disconnected along the line of sight.



Figure 13. The surface topology of an M48 tank has been processed in order to find adjacent orthogonal (i.e., dihedral) elements. Green lines indicate open dihedrals (concave), red lines indicate closed dihedrals (convex), yellow lines indicate orthogonal target elements adjacent in the viewing space, but actually disconnected along the line-of-sight (disjoint). Tan areas show an approximation to the extent of concave dihedral elements.

For the radar problem, the concave class of elements is important. The search algorithm has been designed so as to search the extent of the concave dihedral surfaces and render them in a tan color. The final step in the preparation of input for the radar model is to merge the dihedrals computed from four or so views so that essentially all of the contributing vehicle geometry is represented.

In addition to the direct support of the deterministic radar model, this processing method has utility for system design. As we shall see in the next section, there indeed is a high correlation between dihedral vehicle surface

topology and the magnitude of radar scattering. As such, this method represents and important tool for analyzing and reducing vehicle signatures in the design stage.

D. <u>SAR Imagery</u>

Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) is a technique by which multiple radar samples in space can be used to infer image information about a target. In contrast to standard radars in which only total target backscatter and range are computed, SAR methods can resolve distinct scattering regions of a target.

The ability to predict the nature of SAR imagery is also a useful tool for the design of fighting ground vehicles. For various aspect angles, polarization schemes, and wavelengths, it is important to analyze the scattering efficiency of particular vehicle regions. Such knowledge impacts how, for example, an active MMW seeker would detect and guide to a target. The integrated contributions of all the target scatterers relate to the radar cross section (RCS); thus a byproduct of the calculation, the RCS, represents a figure-of-merit which relates to the ranges at which battlefield targets can be detected. In terms of vehicle design, such analyses make it possible to examine the effect of surface shape (i.e. topology) and surface material (for signature suppression) on radar performance.

During the past year the BRL has implemented a predictive SAR model called SRIM (for Simulated Radar Image Modeling) which was written by personnel at ERIM. The complete logic flow required for the SAR results mirrors the process illustrated in Figure 14. After a solid geometric model has been chosen (or generated), a ray casting utility is used to interrogate the model. The current BRL ray casting program, GIFT, was modified in order to accommodate multiple ray reflections that occur over the surface of the vehicle. A given ray can reflect up to some preset number of times or until it leaves the vicinity of the target. The choice of the ray density is based on the frequency of the radar being simulated and, perforce, the cross-range resolution of the process.

Figure 14 illustrates the spatial relationships of the SAR process using color shaded optical images. In the upper right-hand portion of the figure is an M48 tank viewed from an aspect of (60,12). This is the orientation of the SAR radar with respect to the tank. In addition, the radar is moving in a horizontal direction. Thus the radar resolves the target horizontally and in

⁷J. C. Toomay, <u>Radar Principals for the Non-Specialist</u>, Lifetime Learning Publications, London, 1982.

Private communication with I. J. La Haie, Environmental Research Institute of Michigan (ERIM).

 ⁸G. G. Kuehl, L. W. Bain, Jr., M. J. Resisinger, "The GIFT Code User Manual; Volume II, The Output Options (U)," USA ARRADCOM Report No. 02189, Sep 79, AD# A078364. GIFT stands for Geometric Information for Targets.

Private communication with G. G. Kuehl.

range (because of time resolution of the pulse detection), but not at all vertically. When the SAR information is processed and plotted in the form of azimuthal vs. range data, the appearance is similar to the view shown in the lower righthand portion of the figure. You will note that the view orientation is orthogonal (-120, 78) to the radar aspect angle. This bistatic lighting view only suggests the SAR result. In the visual model, whether viewed from the top or the bottom, only first-surface information can be seen. In the true SAR image all radar scatter for a given range/azimuth is projected into a given point in range/azimuth space. However the shadow generation and general image orientation is similar.



Figure 14. Two high-density images of an M48 tank which illustrate the Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) process. In the upperright, the target is viewed by the SAR system from a (60,12) aspect angle. The SAR is modeled as moving in azimuthal direction (elevation and range constant). Left-hand image shows the complimentary view (-120,78) which is suggestive of the SAR image when cross-range (azimuthal variation) is plotted against range. This is actually a bistatic optical image in which the indirect lighting (from the viewer direction) has been set to zero.

Figure 15 shows the actual results of the SAR calculation against an M48 vehicle at a (60/12) aspect angle. The labels VV and VH represent two combinations of transmit/receive polarization states. In addition, these calculations have been made in a high-resolution mode (about two-inch resolution) and are not constrained by practical frequency or coherence considerations of <u>realizable</u> radar systems. In each of these images, the radar signal is propagating from left to right.



Figure 15. High-resolution SAR images of an M48 vehicle. In both images, cross range is plotted against range. On the left, the vertical/vertical (vv) polarization components are shown; on the right, the vertical/horizontal (vh). These images indicate the resolution of the modeling process; actual constraints of source-detector stability, noise, and other factors have not been intro-duced into the simulation.

Figure 16 shows yet another option of this processing scheme. In the left example (ABS Turret), the turret material has been changed from conducting to absorbing in order to see the effect on signature reduction. The voids caused by reduction in signal return are clearly evident. In the right-hand example, (ABS Wheels), the wheels have been made absorbing, resulting in a similar decrease in backscatter from the suspension system.



Figure 16. High-resolution SAR images of an M48 showing the effect of radar-suppression material.

V. SUMMARY

In this report we have reviewed briefly the way in which solid geometry can be used to support high-resolution, weapons-system engineering, in particular, predictive signature calculations.

Specific examples have been shown for applications in the IR, optical, and radio-wave regimes. Such calculations have broad utility for many applications including smart munitions analyses, vehicle survivability assessments, and vulnerability reduction efforts.

It is important to appreciate that even with modern interactive computer techniques, the generation of solid geometry files of vehicles is still an expensive and demanding task. Depending on the task, the level of geometric detail may differ from one application to the next. Nevertheless, the philosophy taken here is to develop a broad set of analyses which are supported from the same geometric file structure. This makes it possible to recycle the same (or incrementally enhanced) geometry in support of many eclectic analyses to produce high-detail, system perspectives on vehicle design.

REFERENCES

- P. H. Deitz, "Solid Geometric Modeling at the US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory," <u>Proceedings of the Third Annual Conference and Exposition of</u> <u>the National Computer Graphics Association</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, held 13-16 June, 1982, Vol. II, pp.
- 2. P. H. Deitz, "Solid Geometric Modeling the Key to Improved Materiel Acquisition from Concept to Deployment," in the <u>Proceedings of the XXII</u> <u>Annual Meeting of the Army Operations Research Symposium</u>, 3-5 October 1983, Ft. Lee, VA, pp. 4-243 to 4-269. Also in the <u>Proceedings of</u> <u>Defense Computer-Graphics International Conference and Exposition</u>, <u>Washington</u>, <u>DC</u>, 10-14 October 1983.
- 3. P.H. Deitz, "Predictive Vehicle Signatures Through Solid Modeling," <u>Proceedings of the Fifth KRC Symposium on Ground Vehicle Signatures</u>, August 23-24 1983, Houghton, MI., p. 107.
- 4. M. J. Muuss, K. A. Applin, J. R. Suckling, C. A. Stanley, G. S. Moss and E. P. Weaver, "GED; An Interactive Solid Modeling System for Vulnerability Assessments," BRL Technical Report, ARBRL-TR-02480, March 1983 (UNCLASSIFIED).
- 5. J. R. Rapp, "A Computer Model for Estimating Infrared Sensor Response to Target and Background Thermal Emission Signatures," BRL Memorandum Report ARBRL-MR-03292, August 1983.
- 6. J. Lacetera, "Deterministic Modeling of Tank Targets for MMW Radar Systems", elsewhere in the Proceedings.
- 7. J. C. Toomay, <u>Radar Principals</u> for the <u>Non-Specialist</u>, Lifetime Learning Publications, London, 1982.
- G. G. Kuehl, L. W. Bain, Jr., M. J. Resisinger, "The GIFT Code User Manual; Volume II, The Output Options (U)," USA ARRADCOM Report No. 02189, Sep 79, AD# A078364. GIFT stands for Geometric Information for Targets.

No. of

<u>Copies</u> <u>Organization</u>

- 12 Administrator Defense Technical Information Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
- 10 Central Intelligence Agency Dissemination Branch Room GE-47 HQS Washington, DC 20502
- 1 Defense Intelligence Agency Pentagon ATTN: Herb Dimick Washington, DC 20301
- 1 HQDA ATTN: DAMA-ART-M Washington, DC 20310
- 1 HQDA ATTN: DAMA-ARZ-B (COL Ken Evans) Washington, DC 20310
- 1 HQDA Dr. Louise Cameron Director for Research & Technology SARD-TR, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20310-0600
- 1 HQDA Asst Chief of Staff for Intelligence Chief, Space Systems Division ATTN: Joseph Varnadore Washington, DC 20310-1067
- 1 HQDA Hunter M. Woodall, Jr. Director, Program Analysis Pentagon, Rm 3E360 Washington, DC 20310-0103

No. of <u>Copies</u>

Organization

- 9 Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ATTN: Mr. B. Bandy Dr. R. Kahn Dr. C. Kelly Mr. P. Losleben Dr. J. Lupo Mr. F. Patten Dr. Reynolds Mr. S. Squires COL J. Thorpe 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: ORD/IERD (J. Fleisher) Washington, DC 20505
- 1 Mr. Robert Gomez/OSWR P.O. Box 1925 Washington, DC 20013
 - Headquarters Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDRA-PD (F. Michel) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Commander US Army Material Command ATTN: AMCDRA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- 1 Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCMT (John Kicak) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
- 1 Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDE-PM (Dan Marks) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

1

No. of

1

Commander

US Army Armament Research and

Development Center ATTN: SMCAR-TSS Dover, NJ 07801-5001

Copies Organization No. of

Organization Copies 4 Commander 1 Commander US Army Materiel Command US Army Aviation Research and ATTN: AMCTD-PT (Alan Elkins) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Development Command AMSAV-E AMSAV-GT (R. Lewis) AMSAV-NC (H. Law) ATTN: Alexandria, VA 22333 (S. Meyer) ٦ Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPD (Darold Griffin) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 4300 Goodfellow Blvd St. Louis, MO 63120 1 Commander Belvoir Research, Development 1 Commander and Engineering Center ATTN: STRBE-JDA (Melvin Goss) US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPD-PM (Jim Sullivan) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606 Alexandria, VA 22333 1 Commander Belvoir Research, Development and Engineering Center 2 Commander ATTN: STRBE-FC (Ash Patil) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606 US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPM-LOTA (Robert Hall) (MAJ C. Purdin) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001 1 Director Benet Weapons Laboratory US ArmyArmament Research, Development 1 Commander US Army Armament Research and and Engineering Center Development Center ATTN: SMCAR-FSS-E (Jack Brooks) ATTN: SMCAR-LDB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189-4050 Dover, NJ 07801-5001 1 Commander 1 Commander US Army Armament, Munitions and US Army Armament Research and Chemical Command Development Center ATTN: SMCAR-ESP-L ATTN: SMCAR-TD (Jim Killen) Dover, NJ 07801-5001 Rock Island, IL 61299 1 Director 1 Commander US Army Air Mobility Research and US Army Armament Research and Development Laboratory Development Center Ames Research Center ATTN: SMCAR-TDC Dover, NJ 07801-5001 Moffet Field, CA 94035

1 Commander US Army Communication Electronics Command ATTN: AMSEL-ED Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5301

	DISTRIBU	TION
No. of Copies	f <u>Organization</u>	No. <u>Cop</u>
1	Commander US Army Electronics Research & Development Command Technical Library ATTN: DELSD-L (Reports Section) Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5301	1
3	Commander US Army Electronics Research & Development Command Night Vision & Electronic Optics Lab	Ţ
	AMSEL-RD-MV-V (John Ho) AMSEL-NV-V (John Palmer) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5677	Ŧ
3	Commander US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center ATTN: AIAFRC (T. Walker) (S. Eitleman) (R. Witnebal) 220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396	1
1	Commander US Army Foreign Science & Technology Center ATTN: AIAF (Mr. Bill Rich) 220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396	3
1	Commander US Army Foreign Science & Technology Center ATTN: AIFRC (Dave Hardin) 220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396	2
3	Commander US Army Foreign Science & Technology Center ATTN: AIAFRS (Dr. Gordon Spencer) (Mr. John McKay)	

(Mr. C. Grobmyer) 220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396 No. of Copies

- Commander US Army Foreign Science & Technology Center ATTN: AIAFRT (John Kosiewicz) Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
- 1 US Army Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: SLCHD (Mr. Halsey) 2800 Powdermill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

Organization

- 1 US Army Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: SLCHD-RT (Peter Johnson) 2800 Powdermill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197
- 1 Commander US Army INSCOM ATTN: IAOPS-SE-M (George Maxfield) Arlington Hall Station Arlington, VA 22212-5000
- 3 Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD AMSMI-RD-GC-T (R. Alongi) AMSMI-RGT (J. Bradas) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- 2 Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRSMI-REX (W. Pittman) DRSMI-YRT (Pete Kirkland) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- 2 Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-TSL AMSTA-ZS Warren, MI 48397-5000

No of

(Copie	<u>s</u> <u>Organization</u>	Copie	s
	3	Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-RSC (John Bennett) (Walt Wynbelt) (Wally Mick) Warren, MI 48397-5000	1	Dir US D ATT 72 Han
	3	Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-ZSS (J. Thompson) (D. Reese) (J. Soltez) Warren, MI 48397-5000	1	US Ass D (Mi ATT 20 Was
	1	Commander	-	

US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-NKS (D. Cyaye) Warren, MI 48397-5000

No of

- 2 Commander US Army Tank Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-RGE (Dr. R. Munt) (R. McClelland) Warren, MI 48397-5000
- 1 Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5022
- 1 Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CSO-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905
- 1 Commander US Army Development and Employment Agency ATTN: MODE-TED-SAB Fort Lews, WA 98433-5000
- 1 Commander US Army Vulnerability Assessment Laboratory ATTN: SLCVA-CF (Gil Apodaca) White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5513

Copies Organization

- 1 Director US Army Cold Regions Research & Development Laboratory ATTN: Tech Dir (Lewis Link) 72 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03755
- US Army Corps of Engineers Assistant Director Research & Development Directorate (Military Programs) ATTN: Mr. B. Benn 20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20314-1000
- 2 US General Accounting Office Program Evaluation and Methodology Division ATTN: Robert G. Orwin Joseph Sonnefeld Room 5844 441 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20548
- 1 Director US Army Industrial Base Engineering Activity ATTN: AMXIB-MT Rock Island, IL 61299-7260
- 1 Director US Army Industrial Base Engineering Activity ATTN: AMXIB-PS (Steve McGlone) Rock Island, IL 61299-7260
- 3 Commander and Director US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station ATTN: WESEN (Dr. V. LaGarde) (Mr. W. Grabau) WESEN-C (Mr. David Meeker) P.O. Box 631 Vicksburg, MS 39180-0631

No. of Copies Organization

- 1 Technical Director US Army Engineer Topographic Laboratories ATTN: Mr. Walter E. Boge Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5546
- 1 Los Alamos National Laboratories ATTN: MS-F600, Gary Tietgen P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545
- 1 Sandia National Laboratories
 Division 1623
 ATTN: Larry Hostetler
 Albuquerque, NM 87185
- 1 Sandia National Laboratories
 Division 1611
 ATTN: Tom James
 Albuquerque, NM 87185
- l Commander Naval Material Command ATTN: F. Gale Washington, DC 20360
- 1 Naval Intelligence Command ATTN: NIPSSA-333 (Paul Fessler) 4600 Silver Hill Road Washington, DC 20389
- 1 Commander Intelligence Threat Analysis Center ATTN: Bill Davies Washington Navy Yard Bldg 203 (Stop 314) Washington, DC 20374-2136
- 2 Intelligence Threat Analysis Center Intell Image Prod Div ATTN: John Creighton Al Fuerst Washington Navy Yard Bldg 213 (IAX-O-II) Washington, DC 20310

No. of Copies

- oies <u>Organization</u>
- 1 Commander David W. Taylor Naval Ship & Development Center ATTN: J. Schot Bethesda, MD 20084
- 2 AFWAL/MLTC ATTN: LT Robert Carringer Dave Judson Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6533
- 1 AFWAL/AARF ATTN: CPT John Poachon Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6533
- 1 ASD/XRJ ATTN: Ed Mahen Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 AD/CZL ATTN: James M. Heard Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
- 1 AD/ENYW ATTN: Jim Richardson Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
- 1 IDA ATTN: Dr. Lowell Tonnessen 1801 N. Beauregard Street Alexandria, VA 22311
- 1 Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards Manufacturing Systems Group ATTN: B. Smith Washington, DC 20234
- 1 A O Smith Data Systems Division ATTN: H. Vickerman 8901 North Kildeer Court Brown Deer, WI 53209

No. of

Copies Organization

No. of

<u>Copies</u> <u>Organization</u>

- 1 Alliant Computer Company ATTN: David Micciche 1 Monarch Drive Littleton, MA 01460
- 2 Applicon Incorporated ATTN: J. Horgan M. Schussel 32 Second Avenue Burlington, MA 01803
- 1 Boeing Corporation ATTN: Mail Stop 48-88 (Wayne Hammond) P.O. Box 3707 Seattle, WA 98124
- 1 Computer Sciences Corp 200 Sparkman Drive Huntsville, AL 35805
- 3 Computervision Corporation ATTN: A. Bhide V. Geisberg R. Hillyard 201 Burlington Road Bedford, MA 01730
- 1 Decision Science Consortium, Inc. ATTN: Mr. T. Bresnick Suite 421 7700 Leesburg Pike Falls Church, VA 22043
- 1 Dialog Information Services ATTN: Mr. R. Reklis 3460 Fillview Blvd Palo Alto, CA 94304

- 7 Environmental Research Institute of Michigan ATTN: Mr. K. Augustyn Mr. Kozma Dr. I. La Haie Mr. Arnold Mr. E. Cobb Mr. B. Morey Mr. M. Bair P.O. Box 8618 Ann Arbor, MI 48107
- 1 E-OIR Measurements, Inc. ATTN: Russ Moulton P.O. Box 3348 College Station Fredericksburg, VA 22402
- 6 FMC Corporation Ordnance Engineering Division ATTN: M. Hatcher L. House J. Jackson M. Krull E. Maddox R. Musante 1105 Coleman Ave, Box 1201 San Jose, CA 95108
- 1 FMC Corporation Northern Ordnance Division ATTN: M311, Barry Brown 4800 East River Road Minneapolis, MN 55421
- 1 John Fluke Mfg Co, Inc. ATTN: D. Gunderson P.O. Box C9090 Everett, WA 98206
- 1 General Dynamics Data Systems Services ATTN: R. Fridshal P.O. Box 80847 San Diego, CA 92138

No. of Copies

> 3 General Motors Corporation Research Laboratories ATTN: J. Boyse J. Joyce R. Sarraga Warren, MI 48090

Organization

- 1 Gettysburg College Box 405 Gettysburg, PA 17325
- 1 Global Analytics, Inc. ATTN: Mr. Gaelen R. Daum 10065 Old Grove Road San Diego, CA 92131
- 1 GTRI-RAIL-MAD ATTN: Mr. Joe Bradley CRB 577 Atlanta, GA 30332
- 1 Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology ATTN: D. Lewis 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91109
- 1 Keweenaw Research Center Michigan Technological University ATTN: Bill Reynolds Houghton, MI 49931
- 3 Lincoln Laboratory MIT Surveillance Systems Group ATTN: R. Barnes G. Knittel J. Kong 244 Wood Street Lexington, MA 02173-0073
- 3 Lockheed-California Company ATTN: C. A. Burton R. J. Ricci M. Steinberg Burbank, CA 91520

No.	of	
Cop	ies	Or

es <u>Organization</u>

- 1 Lockheed-Georgia Company ATTN: J. Tulkoff Marietta, GA 30063
- 1 LTV ATTN: Mike Logan P.O. Box 225907 Mail Stop 194-51 Dallas, TX 75265
- 1 Martin Marietta Aerospace ATTN: Mr. Dan Dorfman P.O. Box 5837 MP 113 Orlando, FL 32855
- 3 Matra Datavision ATTN: S. Grief R. McPherson M. Suarez 99 South Bedford Street Burlington, MS 01803
- 3 Mathematical Applications Group, Inc (MAGI) ATTN: M. Cohen R. Goldstein H. Steinberg 3 Westchester Plaza Elmsford, NY 10523
- 1 Megatek Corporation United Telecom Computer Group ATTN: S. Bryant 888 Washington Street Dedhan, MA 02026
- 1 Megatek Corporation United Telecom Computer Group ATTN: M. Landguth 3985 Sorrento Valley Blvd San Diego, CA 92121
- Megatek Corporation United Telecom Computer Group ATTN: J. Phrohaska 7700 Leesburg Pike, Suite 106 Falls Church, VA 22043

.

-7

4

۲

No. o <u>Copie</u>	f s <u>Organization</u>	No. of <u>Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Micro Electronics of North Carolina ATTN: Gershon Kedem P.O. Box 12889 Research Triangle Park, NC 07709	3	Structural Dynamics Research Corp (SDRC) ATTN: R. Ard W. McClelland J. Osborn 2000 Eastman Drive
1	MIT ATTN: Dr. S. Benton RE15-416 Cambridge, MA 02139	1	Milford, OH 45150 Sigma Research Incorporated ATTN: Dr. Richard. Bossi 8710 148 Avenue NE
1	Northrop Corporation Aircraft Division ATTN: Mr. Starr Mail Station 3501/84 l Northrop Avenue Hawthorne, CA 90250	1	Redmond, WA 98052 Sikorsky Aircraft Division of United Technologies ATTN: R. Welge North Main Street Stratford, CT 06602
1	Northrop Corporation Research and Technology Center Manager, Autonomous Systems Laboratory ATTN: James R. Reis One Research Park Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274	1 2	System Planning Corporation ATTN: A. Hafer 1500 Wilson Blvd Arlington, VA 22209 TRW Operations & Support Group ATTN: K. Dankers
J	PDA Engineering ATTN: Lou Crain 1560 Brookhollow Drive Santa Ana, CA 92705	1	T. Heim One Space Park Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Vought Corporation
1	PRI, Inc. ATTN: W. Bushell Building E4435, Second Floor Edgewood Area-APG, MD 21010		P.O. Box 225907 Dallas, TX 75265
1	Interactive Computer Graphics Center Rensselear Polytechnic Inst. ATTN: M. Wozny Troy, NY 12181	1	Mr. John Bosma Cardinal Communications Corporation 12913 Eagle Dancer Trail NE Albuquerque, NM 87112
1	RGB Associates, Inc. ATTN: R. Barakat Box B Wayland, MA 01778	1	Professor Henry Fuchs University of North Carolina 208 New West Hall (035A) Chapel Hill, NC 27514

No. of

Copies Organization

- 3 The University of Utah Computer Science Department ATTN: R. Riesenfeld E. Cohen L. Knapp 3160 Merrill Engineering Bldg Salt Lake City, UT 84112
- 1 Science Applications, Inc. ATTN: Terry Keller Suite 200 1010 Woodman Drive Dayton, OH 45432
- 1 Science Applications International Corp ATTN: Dr. Robert Turner Suite 200 1010 Woodman Drive Dayton, OH 45432
- 1 TASC ATTN: Eric Keydel 1 Jacob Way Reading, MA 01867
- 1 Thomas Hafer 1500 Wilson Blvd. 14th Floor Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 XONTECH ATTN: John Dagostino 1701 N. Fort Myer Drive Suite 703 Arlington, VA 22209

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-C, A. Reid AMXSY-D AMSXY-G, J. Kramar AMXSY-CS, P. Beavers C. Cairns D. Frederick AMXSY-RA, R. Scungio M. Smith

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

BRL Report Number_____Date of Report_____ 1.

2. Date Report Received

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.)_____

4. How specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate._____

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.)

Name

CURRENT ADDRESS Organization

Address

City, State, Zip

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the New or Correct Address in Block 6 above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

	Name	
OLD ADDRESS	Organization	
	Address	

City, State, Zip

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, staple or tape closed, and mail.)



FOLD HERE -

đ